

# INTEGRATED-KASHANG

HYDRO ELECTRIC PROJECT



2<sup>nd</sup> Six Monthly Compliance of MoEF & CC

Status Report for the Period April .2019 to Sept.2019

For Stage-I,II&III (195 MW)

### Point wise reply:

## Sr. No

Sr.	Funds	Funds spent by the	Details of	Area covered by carrying for
No	released by	forest department till	the	Plantation and no of plants planted.
	the project	date	engineering	
	authority		works	
	till date		Executed till	
			date	
1	Rs 13.03	Received UC of	Сору	Utilization certificate received from
	cr.	Rs.11.7139 Crore/- up to	enclosed as	HP Forest department up to 3°
		30 <sup>th</sup> Sept 2019. Apart	Annexure-	Sept. 201
		from this amount, Rs.	Α	under the head of Enrichment 1
		8029,462/- spent for		ha., were spent out of varius head
		wildlife management		i.e. Enrichment/ new plantation a
		and biodiversity		Maintenance of Afforestation
		conservation plan.		NEFT (Herb plantation)
		During this quarter		development of nursery over a. o
		Project proponent has		land and soil and wate
		deposited Rs 941.92		conservation works. Which
		lakhs being 2% of the		includes avalanche control over
		project cost of IKHEP		River /Nalla's and river bar
		stage –I deposited as		stabilization. for land stabalization
		special condition		for the total soil and moistur
		imposed by the NBWL		conservation work. Physical an
		while granting		fincial progress of Rs 31,215 wer
		Clearance as already		received up to Sept 2019 out of th
		conveyed in the previous		total allocation of funds anountin
		compliance .		to Rs 6,05,700/- for the FY 2019
				20 enclosed as per Annexure-A.

- 2. Physical and financial Progress about the implementation of the R&R scheme is enclosed as Annexure-.B
- 3. Updated information on the project in 13 point data sheet is enclosed as Annexure-C
- 4. Environmental monitoring report containing all the parameters of Monitoring water quality samples for drinking and waste water, Air quality and Noise quality samples have been carried out in the month of Augst .2019 through external agency and HP State Pollution Control Board , 2019 at project sites of IKHEP and the various parameters were analyzed i.e. pH, colour, taste, odour, Turbidity TDS, total hardness CaCO3, Alkalinity as CaCO3, Chlorides, Residual free chlorine, Ca, Mg, Nitrate, Floride Sulphate, iron Copper, Manganese, Mercury, Cadmium and Zinc. For drinking water and pH, TSS, oil and grease, COD and BOD,3 days and total residual Chlorine. Various parameters of air quality i.e. particulate matter PM<sub>10</sub>, particulate matter PM<sub>2.5</sub>, suspended particulate matter Sulphur Dioxide, Nitrogen dioxide, Carbon Mono- oxide & Ammonia as NH3 but during the reporting period the environmental parameters were not monitored due to non working season Copy attached a Annexure-D
- 5. <u>Medical facilities through contractors to the workers</u>:-Project proponent has established a dispensary at Project site i.e. Reckong-Peo which is being run by , M/S Patel Engineering, along with facility of ambulance vehicles . Time to time medical camps are being organized by the Project proponent in PAA. Copy of the Expenditure made by the M/S Patel Engineering during the reporting period April 2019 to Sept 2019 is enclosed as Annexure-E and apart from this medical fund i.e. 0.05% of the total project cost has been earmarked on account of the medical fund management committee for reimbursement of medical expenses to PAFs in hardship due to illness or in extreme illness or accident cases. As on date Rs. 12,75,696/-/-/- has been disbursed among53 PAFs.
- 6. So far, engineering measures, like retaining structures have been raised on the approved /designated dumping sites and as on date create wire / protections works were raised at various locations :-

Power House site	e =	11,871 Nos
Intake site.	=	351 Nos
IPS site	=	650 Nos

i.e 12872 nos of create walls were raised at various sites of IKHEP Consequent upon the and instruction issued to the Main Contractor for raising additional protection walls before TOC 50 numbers of additional Crate walls near Power House and 27 numbers near Intake and 5 additional crate walls were erected at various dumping sites and once the protection works are completed and Project Environment cell is also continuously inspecting and implementing the norms as per the outcome of various visits Project proponent suggested remedial measures to the contractors for implementation of the biological treatment of dumping sites of Power House and IPS completed with plantation of around 3300 numbers of various plant species and erosion control blanket .

Details of allocation of funds for different Environment management plan along with utilization of funds are as under;

- Rs1303.0 lacs against CAT plan
- Rs. 33.67 lakhs against compensatory afforestation(CA) of Stage –I &Rs 119,57,407 against Stage II &III .
- Rs 42.00 Lakhs against Fishries management.
- 1795.82/- lacs under Environment Monitoring to the HPSPCB.
- 941.92 lakhs for Monitoring the Environment

Provision/ Compliance of EMP and its utilizations

Sl. No.	Particular	Provisions in EMP (Rs. in Lakhs)	Utilization of Amount (Rs. in Lakhs)	Remarks
1	Catchment Area Treatment Plan	3050.60 for IKHEP, Stage I,II,III &IV	Rs 1303.	Had been deposited with the CAMPA against Stage I, II &III of IKHEP and utilization of Rs1054.93 lakhs has been received from DFO Kinnaur /Forest department
2	Implementation of Resettlement & Rehabilitation Plan	<b>300.00</b> Implementation of Resettlement & Rehabilitation Plan	4587.65	For the implementation of R&R benefits and works / tender awarded to PAFs Contractors including LADA funds deposited with the LADC & other then LADA works
3	Compensatory Afforestation	541.00	Against Stage I,II&III Stage –I Rs 33.66 Stage II&II Rs.119.57 ( is already deposited with the Forests Deptt. )	CA over the 38 Ha against IKHEP Stage –I achieved and against Stage II &III, DFO Kinnaur conveyed the progress of 30 ha out of 36 Ha in the Lippa, Jangi and Asarang is in progress with an Expenditure or Rs 23,16000/
4	Greenbelt Development	55.00	Rs 5.0+4.26=9.26	5.0 lacs for strengthening of Nursery and Rs.4.26lacs. for green belt development at Intake site of IKHEP Stage -I
5	Wildlife Management and Biodiversity Conservation Plan*	100.00*	88.789 941.92 ( over and above from EMP )	Utilization Certificate received from DFO wildlife up to 31 <sup>st</sup> March 2019 where

				Rs.20,162 as the approved APO for the FY 2019-20 was reported by the DFO wild life /(Cost as met from CAT plan funds) apart from this NBWL while according Clearance imposed a condition of 2% of the project cost as a mandatory stipulation to the project roponent . and project proponent had deposited Rs 941.92 Lakh to CAMPA against IKHEP Stage –I
6	Muck Management Plan	552.00	388.00+ 221.75	For Engineering measurers i.e. construction of crate walls/ gabions through contactor. Against IKHEP stage –I only. And the reclamation / biological treatment of the dumping site at Power house and IPS were were completed with the xpenditure of Rs 221.75 and the process of handing over of the site after due reclamation were in process but due to ongoing widening of NH-5 BRO had damaged all the reclaimed site along with the power House approach roads, matter is being taken up with the BRO.
7	Restoration Plan for Quarry Sites	64.00	0.95	Since no quarrying was carried out from Proposed quarry site. (Rs 0.95 Lacs spent for

				preparation of mining plan )
8	Landscape and Restoration Plan	26.00	120.00	Landscape restoration plan is in progress installation of lighting arrangements to enhance the night effect is completed with the Expenditure already inbuilt in the Electrical Contract Rs 75 lakhs and Rs. 45 Lakhs lacs. has been incurred for providing crash barrier along the power house Road which is also partially damaged by the BRO.
9	Health Management Plan	65.00	65.0 ( Against IKHEP Stage -I LADA fund had already been disbursed with LADC )	Being implemented through Contractors &Portion of the expenditure of Plan will be met from LADF. Apart from this Project level medical Fund scheme is being run by the project proponent and an expenditure of Rs 12.75 lakhs is spent on this scheme.
10	Provision for Subsidized Fuel*	113.00*	139.96	Total 8169 no. of commercial cylinders amounting to Rs 130.35 lacs were provided to the labourers through contractors, rest of the cost of subsidized fuel will be met from CAT plans
11	Sold Waste Management	228.00	(Rs.706.00Against IKHEP Stage -I& Against Stage II &III 72.00 LADA fund had already been disbursed with LADC )	Part of the amount i.e. Rs 50 lacs is meet from LADF against Stage –II& III and part of around 45 lacs. is earmarked for common

				incinerator& sewage plant at HPPCL Colony . which is not constructed yet, being implemented by project proponent
12	Disaster Management Plan	40.00	20.00+56=76.00	Helipad has been established at Intake site of KHEP Stage – I,. Rs 56.00 Lakhs incurred for Installation of alert system, at control room at power house site and communication
13	Environment Monitoring Plan	124.00	1795.82	Rs.1795.82 lakhs to HPSPCB for monitoring and consent fees including Rs 20 lacs. to society of Environmental Protection and sustainable Development at Department of Environment Govt. of HP and addl. 6 lacs for HP Forest department
14	Fisheries Development Plan	105.00	42.00 lacs	Rs 42.0 lacs was deposited with directorate of fisheries and utilization of Rs 40.5 has been reported by the Directorate of Fisheries
	TOTA	AL 5150.60	10571.54	Note : The amount of Sr. 11 of solid waste management is not included in the Total Amount.

7. Physical and financial progress report of compensatory afforestation

Project Name	Funds released by the project authority till date (Rs.)	Funds spent by the forest department till date (Rs)	
Stage -I	33,66,650	20,95,280	38 haProgressalreadyconveyedvidepreviouscomplianceReports
Stage II &III	Rs1,19,57,406	23,16000/-	CA against IKHEP Stage I. i.e. 38 ha of Forest land is achieved and DFO Kinnaur has conveyed a Physical and financial progress of CA Rs 12,38,500, over the 30 ha against 36 Ha of Stage II &III. for implementation of CA over 35 ha in Jangi and Lippa & Asarang areas and the Physical & financial Progressof Rs.68,531/- for the Financial year 2019-20 Copy attached as Annexure-F

- 8. Out of the Integrated Kashang HEP 243 MW share of Rs. 105 laces, 2 installments of the above share i.e. 42 lacs. (21 lacs each)were deposited with the Director cum Warden fisheries Himachal Pradesh, HPPCL is continuously pursuing the case with the Directorate of Fisheries, for development towards fisheries schemes. Utilization certificate of Rs.40.5 lac has been recived from Director cum Warden Fisheries Himachal Pradesh, Copy already attached as Annexure-E in previous report. Project proponent shall monitor the progress achieved on this account after site visit in near future.
- 9. Information about labour engaged by Main contractors and fuel supplied by the contractor.

Year /periods	No of labourers engaged Contract wise.	Quantum of free fuel supplied or subsidy provided	Financial expenditure incurred in (Rs)
April 2019	42 (Civil Contractor)	11	20175
May 2016	69 (Civil Contractor)	16	29320
June 2016	53 (Civil Contractor)	17	31152.5
July 2019	58Civil Contractor)	09	16492.5
August 209	65Civil Contractor)	11	20157
Sept 2019	110(Civil		
	Contractor))	09	16492
Total		73	1,33,789/-

10. Information about the medical checkup by the different contractors, expenditure incurred on medicines

Year /periods	No of labourers	Expenditure	Expenditure
	checked up Contractor	incurred on	incurred on
	wise	providing medicines	providing other
			facilities (in Rs)
From April 2019 to	Oct 2018 to March,	71,400-( Oct,2018	1,03,226 (Aprox.)
Sept ,2019 (by M/s	2019. (Civil	to March 2019	total of 51,000/-
Patel Ltd.)	Contractor)		incurred

Pre medical examination of workers were conducted by medical team comprising of 1 doctor, 2 compounders 2 senior nurses and 1 nurse and 3 attendants and the ambulance vehicles were deployed at the sites with all medical facilities during the construction of IKHEP Stage –I, as far as the construction activities of IKHEP Stage II &III is concerned, due to resistance by the villagers of Lippa the construction activities were not carried out earlier but during the reporting period the villagers of lippa are now aggred to co-oprate with HPPCL and the infrastructure works i.e. construction of Approach roads etc are started from Lippa side excavation of BR-III is being carried out by M/S Patel Engineering and M/s Patel Engineering had setup a first Aid center at Intake site with a full time Male pharmacist.. Apart from this Project proponent has implemented a project level medical

fund scheme in Project area and kept 0.05% of the total project cost to facilitate and provide medical facility and support for medical treatment of project affected families and as on date Rs 12,75,696/-/- were reimbursed to 35 beneficiaries.

- 11. Social welfare measures and other schemes being run for the locals and project affected people enclosed as Annexure-B
- 12. Compliance of Public hearing and comments made during the Public hearing along with the Compliance status

Details of issues raised during Public Hearing on 28-05-2009 at 11:00 AM onwards for proposed Integrated Kashang HEP, organized by H. P. State Pollution Control Board at village Lippa, Tehsil, Moorang, Distt. Kinnaur (H.P).:-

Sr. No	Issues Raised	Remarks on the issues raised	Compliance Made
1.	The representative of the public raised an objection that all the agriculture and Horticulturist of the Sub-division adjoining to underground works has not been indicated in R&R plan (rehabilitation and resettlement) whereas all the agriculture and Horticulturist should have been covered under R&R Plan ?	Gram Panchayat Lippa has been declared as a project affected area of Integrated Kashang HEP, Stage-II & III under R&R Plan.	Due to litigation in the Hon'ble NGT, no activity has could be started from Lippa side earlier but now infrastructure development activities started from Lippa side. Project proponent has already recommended the entire Lippa & Asarang Panchayat as PAA against Integrated Kashang HEP, Stage-II, III and Stage –IV. Further, Govt of HP has also notified a policy for compensation for damage to crops during construction of hydro projects in HP vide its notification No. MPP(F)10-18/2011 dated 11. July 2011 and project proponent is committed to implement the same.
2.	It is apprehended that all the 15 water sources in the Lappo chak area will dry up, which have not been mentioned in R&R Plan. Hence, injustice has been meted	A committee comprising of members of Project Proponent, IPH, revenue and representative of concerned Panchayat will	Project proponent had inventorized all the springs in the vicinity of LIPPA Asarang, Tokto & Rarang Prior to the start of

	out with the local seconds	be constituted and mill as	construction is in the
	out with the local people ?	be constituted and will carry out flow measure in lean season and will also prepare video graphic film. In case of reduction observed in the flow of water, it will be compensated by the project proponent.	construction i.e. in the Month of May and June, 2012 in the presence of representatives of concerned Villages. Project proponent is committed to carry out the inventorization of all the springs in the vicinity of Lippa and Rarang during the construction and to maintain the records of the same and shall repeat the same practice during the construction Phase and restore the same by any means if any discrepancies are observed during the construction phase. But due to pending litigation in the NGT, villagers are not cooperating with the Project proponent; But now villagers of Lippa are welcoming and the Main construction activities are likely to start from the Lippa where as infrastructure development activities started from Lippa side as on date As the Status remain same as previous compliance. Status same as already conveyed vide previous compliance
3.	Due to blasting in the tunnels it is apprehended that it will cause landslides which have not been indicated in R&R Plan and local people has been cheated.	Controlled blasting will be done and it will be ensured that there is no landslide and no damage to the property of the Government and local residents.	In case of Kashang HEP Stage-I underground excavation is complete. The excavation of Stage II is stopped due to resistance by villagers of village Lippa. Project proponent is committed to carryout controlled blasting. Moreover the tunneling activities of Stage- II is across the Mountain and having the rock cover of 500 mtr to 1500 mtr.

			Status same as already conveyed vide previous compliance. HPPCL has carried out a study on Safety of Public structure due to blasting in tunneling through central Institute of Mining and Fuel Research {Council of Scientific and Industrial Research}. It shows that the magnitude of vibration after 60 m distance is safe but it became insignificant beyond 100m distance from the damage point of View. In one of the HPPCL's project in Kinnaur i.e Shongtong Karcham HEP, HPPCL.
4.	Dust from the construction of project is likely to damage orchards and crops and is serious issue which has not been indicated in EIA/EMP report.	Mitigation measure to control dust due to construction of project have been suggested in EIA/EMP report.	Most of the Construction sites are far away from the human habitant and underground. Project proponent is committed to take mitigation measures. Sprinklers at various locations and daily sprinkling at specific periodicity and intervals were /shall be carried out (in case of IKHEP Stage – I). Same practice will be adopted in case of IKHEP stage- II & III when the construction activities will be started. Project Proponent had engaged an independent monitoring agency through its main contractor for monitoring of Environmental parameters i.e. Air water and noise. Apart from the HP State pollution control Boards. During the

			Construction period all the parameters were within permissible limits. Despite of adhering all norms within the limits. Govt. of HP, Department of MPP and Power has formulated uniform policy for compensation for damage to crops during the construction of Hydro Electric Projects. On dated 11 <sup>th</sup> July, 2011. Even though in case of any damages occurred to the crops due to project activities project proponent is abided by the Guidelines / Notification issued by the Govt. of HP, Deptt. Of MPP & power time to time and in case of IKHEP Stage-I Project proponent had disbursed Rs. 1.69 crore as compensation to the villagers of Pangi in lieu of Damage to crop where as in case of loses of agriculture crops due to Project activities same practice will be adopted to compensate the losses in
			Lippa also.
5.	Adverse effects due to construction of project have not been mentioned in R&R Plan.	R&R Plan has been prepared in accordance to the NRRP 2007 and Himachal Pradesh Hydro – Power Policy and the same has been approved by H.P. Government wherein all the aspects have been incorporated.	Prior to project execution Environment Impact Assessment report is to be carried out to evaluate the environmental Impact, if any, by the project and on the basis of Environmental Impact assessment report Environment Management Plan has to be prepared to mitigate the Impact by

r	I		
			the execution of the project, and in case of Integrated Kashang HEP (243 MW), project proponent has got conducted EIA and EMP studies through the Expert team of an independent agency i e. HFRI . and after the recommendations of State Govt. and further, Expert Appraisal Committee of MOEF Govt. of India, EAC has recommended the final Environmental Clearance of Integrated Kashang HEP 243 MW. R&R plan is itself an Remedial document i.e. Rehabilitation and Resettlement Plan on the basis of Social Impact. Status same as already conveyed vide previous compliance as on Rs . 91.50 Crore has already being spent on for carrying out R&R activities.
<mark>6</mark> .	It is apprehended that there will be decrease in yield of fruits and vegetables and increase in un- employment due to construction of project, but there is no mention regarding the provisions of permanent solution for employment.	Employment will be provided to the local people in accordance to the notification of H.P. Government and there will be no adverse effect on vegetable and fruits production.	Govt. of HP, Department of MPP and Power has formulated uniform policy for compensation for damage to crops during the construction of Hydro Electric Projects on dated 11 <sup>th</sup> July, 2011. Further in case of Integrated Kashang HEP Stage -I a committee has been constituted to assess the compensation for Horticulture and Agriculture crops and the committee has conducted various visits to the PAA of IKHEP Stage I i.e village Pangi. During the reporting period HPPCL management has deposited

construction activities have been started from the Lippa side so far.
---

7.	Due to construction of tunnel near by Dakchompa place, religious and pristine temple of Guru Padam Sambhav is likely to be damaged which has not been addressed, which is religious and important holy place for the villagers of Lippa.	There is no likelihood of damage to be caused to the temple, however; if damage occurs due to construction of project the same shall be compensated by the project proponent.	HPPCL has carried out a study on Safety of Public structure due to blasting in tunneling. through Central Institute of Mining and Fuel Research {Council of Scientific and Industrial Research}. It shows that the magnitude of vibration after 60 m distance is safe but it became insignificant beyond 100m distance from the damage point of View. In one of the HPPCL's project in Kinnaur i.e Shongtong Karcham HEP, HPPCL since the cnstruction sites of stage II & III are for away i.e. Horizontally as well as vertically Aprox 1.00 Km from the project site & Project proponent is committed to carryout Controlled blasting, In case damage to any structure occurs the same shall be compensated logically and fairly.
8.	Dumping site at Lappo Ronnam is not appropriate /sufficient, which is likely to have substantial impact on the environment and aquatic life.	selected in consultation with forest department and	construction from the Lippa side is yet not started. And

			aquatic life is concerned Project proponent had got conducted a study from the Directorate of Cold water fisheries Bhim Tal, and above agencies had denied any fish fauna in the Kerang Stream.
9.	Impact on pasture and their customary rights have not been mentioned and how it will be compensated is not clear in the project report, which is very useful to the villagers of Lippa.	All the customary rights related to the forest produce will be protected and every care will be taken so that there is no damage to the forest produces, in case some damage is observed, the same shall be compensated by the project proponent.	All the customary & Forest rights of Kinnaur has been settled and well documented in the report "Forest settlement Report of Satluj Valley, Bushahr State Part -1" by HM Glover in the year 1921 and people of the District are enjoying the same. Project proponent has extended forest customary right equal to 500 days minimum wages to each PAF in lieu of this customary right in the Project affected area of Kashang HEP Stage-I and till date Rs 3.76 crore has been disbursed to 510 families and same practice shall be adopted in case of Kashang Stage II &III too.
10.	As per the Ministry of Environment and Forest Notification, water from Kerang Khad should be discharged in the same Khad which is not proposed and water will be diverted to Kashang Kahd, as a result moisture contents will be reduced and will have adverse impact on Fruits and Crops.	Provision to ensure that 15% water is discharged from the Kerang khad as per H.P. Govt. notification will be kept and as such there will be no adverse impact on the fruits and crops. Regarding the discharge of water of Kerang Khad back in the same Khad is ruled out.	Project proponent is committed to comply with this condition. More over Scientific study from a reputed Institute for deciding the minimum flow to be released during the lean season is complete and report awaited and project Environment cell is continuously pursuing the matter telephonically with the IIT Roorkee. Prof. Arun Kumar of IIT Roorkee (HoD Hydrology has conveyed to the Project proponent that, 'this aspect of Hydrology is covered in

			detail in CEIA and separate study in IKHEP may not be required. On receipt of CEIA, project proponent may go through the report. which was expected shortly. But due to some technical/ administrative issues the reports has been delayed and project proponent is committed to comply the recommendations of the same in case of IKHEP instead of carrying out same study again. Since the Project is under construction and the construction of Stage II & III is yet not under taken due to resistance of the villagers of Lippa, although petitions has been disposed off and no diversion of water is undertaken yet and 100% of its discharge is flowing through its main course of stream /khad as on date. In case of IKHEP Stage –I , project has been commissioned and project proponent has installed a continuous flow measuring device at intake site of IKHEP Stage-I and 15% water is discharged through it and being monitored by HP State pollution control board and can be checked online through. www.hppcblive.com/ live/
11		D	kashang
11.	There is a serious problem of flood in Pazzer Nallah adjacent to Kerang Nallah every year which bring lots of debris, stones and silt to the down side. The water of Kerang Khad flushes/ removes the debris brought by Pazzer Nallah resulting in less damage to	Proponents are aware of this problem and adequate amount for treatment for this problem which is mentioned in CAT plan will be provided. There will be proper water supply after tunnel preparation and there	Most of the Construction sites are far away from the human habitant and underground. Project proponent is committed to take mitigation measures. Sprinklers at various locations and daily

-	<u>_</u>		
	adjacent sites at the confluence point. If tunnel is constructed and water is diverted to tunnel from Kerang Khad, then debris, which is brought by Pizzer Nallah will be accumulated on the confluence point of Pizzer Nallah and kerang Khad. There is no solid solution to this problem only under EIA & EMP only for sake of formality, it is mentioned.	will be no debris accumulation in the area. When there is more debris accumulation there in the Nallah than project proponent will stop plant for two or three days and all water of Kerang Khad will be supplied for removal of debris.	sprinkling at specific periodicity and intervals were /shall be carried out (in case of IKHEP Stage – I). Same practice will be adopted in case of IKHEP stage- II & III when the construction activities will be started. Project Proponent had engaged an independent monitoring agency through its main contractor for monitoring of Environmental parameters i.e. Air water and noise. Apart from the HP State pollution control Boards. During the Construction period all the parameters were within permissible limits. Despite of adhering all norms within the limits. Govt. of HP, Department of MPP and Power has formulated uniform policy for compensation for damage to crops during the construction of Hydro Electric Projects. On dated 11 <sup>th</sup> July, 2011. Even though in case of any damages occurred to the crops due to project activities project proponent is abided by the Guideliness / Notification issued by the Govt. of HP, Deptt. Of MPP & power time to time and in case of IKHEP Stage-I Project proponent had disbursed Rs. 1.69 crore as compensation to the villagers of Pangi in
			Stage-I Project proponent had disbursed Rs. 1.69
			the villagers of Pangi in lieu of Damage to crop
			where as in case of loses of agriculture crops due to
			Project activities same
			practice will be adopted to
			compensate the losses in

12. Provision of Rs. 2 crore for compensation/felling of trees is sum of Rs. 2 crore si adequate amount and the final amount will be decided by the Forest Department being concerned & technically Department. Department nieu of the area CA & NPV is raised by the Forest Department in lieu of the amount of land diverted and to carry out the Compensatory afforestation, double the area diverted for said project and the Cost of the separately raised by the forest obe felled will be separately raised by the forest obe felled according to schedule of payment of their own department and Project or the said separately raised by the forest obe felled according to schedule of new of the separately raised by the forest department and Project on the full of the same of the rese to be felled according to schedule of new of the separately raised by the forest in case of Integrated Kashang Stage – 1 & Rs. 1,957,4006' & & Rs. 1,955,4006' & Rs. 1,955,4006' & Rs. 1,955,4006' & Rs. 1,356,4073'- against CA & NPV respectively for Integrated Kashang Stage – 1 & Rs. 1,957,4006' & & Rs. 1,356,4073'- against CA & NPV respectively for Integrated Kashang Stage – 1 & Rs. 1,356,4073'- against CA & NPV respectively for Integrated Kashang Stage – 1 & Rs. 1,356,4073'- against CA & NPV respectively for Integrated Kashang Stage – 1 & Rs. 1,356,4073'- against CA & NPV respectively for Integrated Kashang Stage – 1 & Rs. 1,356,4073'- against CA & NPV respectively for Integrated Kashang Stage – 1 & Rs. 1,356,4073'- against CA & NPV respectively for Integrated Kashang Stage – 1 & Rs. 1,356,4073'- against CA & NPV respectively for Integrated Kashang Stage – 1 & Rs. 1,356,4073'- against CA & NPV respectively for Integrated Kashang Stage – 1 & Rs. 1,356,4073'- against CA & NPV respectively for Integrated Kashang Stage – 1 & Rs. 1,356,4073'- against CA & NPV respectively for Integrated Kashang Stage – 1 & Rs. 1,356,4073'- against CA & NPV respectively for Integrated Kashang Stage – 1 & Rs. 1,356,4073'- against CA & NPV respectively for Integrated Kashang
implementation of 36 ha as intimated by Divisional Forest officer Kinnaur. But as per the direction of NGT

	scheduled the APO and allocated / ear marked 20-
	30 ha of Forest land in
	Lippa and Jangi and
	Compensatory
	Afforestation (CA of
	IKHEP Stage II &III) DFO
	Kinnaur, has submitted the
	Physical and Financial
	progress of Rs.68531/-/-
	out of allocation of funds
	amounting to Rs 4,55,000/-
	against CA over UF Jangi,
	C 215 and UF Assarang
	for the FY 2019-20in lieu
	of CA over 30 ha ( in
	Lippa and in UF Jangi, 10
	ha in C-215 Lippa and 10
	ha in UF Asarang Area Annexure-F
	Annexure-r

13.	There is no mention about 15-16	A committee comprising of	Project proponent is
	water resources falling in the	member of Project Proponent,	committed to carry out
	project area in EIA & EMP.	IPH, revenue and	the inventorization of all
		representative of concerned	the springs in the
		Panchayat will be constituted	vicinity of Lippa and
		and will carry out flow	Rarang and kept the
		measurement in lean season	records of the same prior
		and will also prepare video	to the start of any
		graphic film. In case of	construction activities
		reduction observed in the	and shall repeat the same
		flow of water it will be	practice during the
		compensated by the project	construction phase and
		proponent.	restore the same by any
			means. If any
			discrepancies are
			observed during and
			afterwards. Project
			proponent has already
			inventorized all the
			springs in the vicinity of
			LIPPA, Asarang, Tokto &
			Rarang, prior to start of
			Construction i.e. in the
			month of May and June,
			2012 in the presence of
			representatives of above
			villages, till date no
			mages, an date no

			Major/ Main construction activities could be started from the Lippa side. Since the issue is disposed off and villagers are welcoming the project proponent and project proponent is commited to comply the commitment made in the NGT and EMP in totality
14.	It is apprehended that the customary rights of the local people to collect herbs and medicinal plants will be damaged and will affect the income source and there is no mention about this in the EIA &EMP.	All the customary rights related to the forest produce will be protected and every care will be taken so that there is no damage to the forest produce. In case some damage is observed the same shall be compensated by the project proponent.	All the customary & Forest rights of Kinnaur have been settled and well documented in the report 'Forest Settlement Report of Sutlej Valley, Bushahr State Part -1' by HM Glover in the year 1921 and people of the district are enjoying the same, Project proponent is extending forest customary rights equal to 500 days minimum wages to each PAF in lieu of forest customary right and in case of Kashang HEP Stage-I Project proponent has disbursed, 500 days minimum wages to residents of village Pangi and till 31-12-2016 Rs. 3,76,40,250/ - have been disbursed among 510 families and same practice will be adopted in case of Kashang Stage II &III too and apart from this due to intervention of Hon'ble NGT project proponent had place the entire proposal of FC before the affected Panchayat for the sttelment of Forest Right and state Govt. has settled the Pending FRA of village Lippa, as per

			the Provisions of Law
15.	There is no provision in the EIA & EMP regarding the dust emission from the dumping sites.	The provision for suppression of dust will be incorporated in the EIA & EMP.	Most of the Construction sites are far away from the human habitant and underground. Project proponent had carried out the mitigation measures as per the commitment made in the Environmental public Hearing, by sprinklers at various locations by providing sprinkling at specific periodicity and intervals in case of IKHEP Stage –I. Project Proponent had engaged an independent monitoring agency through its main contractor for monitoring of Environmental parameters i.e. Air Water and Noise apart from the HP State Pollution Control Boards and during the Construction period all the parameters were within permissible limits. Same practice will be followed in case of IKHEP stage- II when the construction activities will be started.
16.	It has been wrongly indicated in the EIA & EMP that there are 99% Hindu and 1% Budhist.	It will be corrected.	It has been corrected in the final EIA &EMP
17.	Sub-villages above the intake site have not been mentioned in the EIA & EMP.	The area will be inspected by project proponent along with the representatives of the village and if such area is found, the same shall be incorporated in EIA/EMP.	Status remains the same as per the status already conveyed vide previous compliance.
18.	15% discharge of water from the diversion structure is not sufficient.	The 15% water will be release from the diversion structure as per the H.P. Govt. notification.	Comments same as Sr. No. 10 above

19.	There will be adverse impact on	There will be no adverse	It is well known fact that
	Economic and Social culture.	impact on the Economic and	the Economic and social
	How it will be compensated.	social culture.	status of the PAA/ PAFs
	-		in the vicinity of the
			Project area is always
			flourished due to project
			activities, as new avenues
			of Employment and
			social activities will be
			generated, where as social
			culture of an area is also
			directly associated with
			the economic, and with
			the growth in the
			economic status. Social
			status is also improved,
			more over HPPCL has
			incorporated a vision to
			improve the economic
			status of the affected
			people from present
			level, by virtue of
			extending the benefits
			vested in the R&R plan in
			respect of economic and
			social culture as the
			Hydro Power projects are
			strengthening the growth
			and are backbone of
			state's economy .In case
			of IKHEP Stage-I, it is visually evident that the
			life style/living standard
			of villagers of Pangi is
			improved. Like prior to
			start of the construction
			project activities,
			majority of the Household
			were residing in Kachha
			Houses, whereas, today
			majority of the houses are
			Pakka/ RCC,
			buying/spending capacity
			of th villagers have
			improved, number of
			vehicle in the village is
			also increased, land
			holding of the village is
			also increased as HPPCL
			has provided/ improved
			Irrigation facilities. In

			totality the life style of the villagers has improved after the Project as discussed with the village Numberdar (Village Revenue Head). Same Practice will be adopted by the Project proponent in case of Stage II &III rest Status same as already conveyed vide previous compliance
20.	Have you conducted any study regarding reduction in the size of glaciers and what will be the impact on glaciers due to construction of the project?	No study has been conducted so far regarding reduction of glaciers.	No study has been conducted by HPPCL so for so far as reduction of glaciers is concerned.
21.	Is there any provision to provide jobs to every resident of Lippa.	Employment will be provided to the local people in accordance to the notification of H.P. Government.	As per the HP Govt. notification, employment will be provided to the local people and as per R&R plan of HPPCL, the Main PAFs who are eligible for direct employment but have not been provided employment will be given a special rehabilitation/employment grant equivalent to the 1000 days of minimum wages for labour per family. Where as in case of Integrated Kashang HEP Stage- I, 63 No. of persons were engaged in HPPCL through work orders / outsource basis and 106 no., 46 no. & 26 no. by its main contractors i.e. M/s HCC and M/s Patel Engineering and M/s Andritz Hydro respectively and same practice will be adopted in case of Stage II &III ( Lippa Village)

22.	Representative of Lippa village opposed the construction of the project.	No comments	Now after the disposal of the case in NGT, villagers are welcoming the Project proponent for construction of Stage II &III
23.	People are being cheated /misguided through conducting public hearing.	People are not misguided /cheated through the public hearing but they are made aware about the project proposal and impacts on the environment and mitigation measures proposed by the project proponents.	People are not misguided /cheated through the public hearing but they are made aware about the project proposals. And Project proponent wants to involve the local people in the construction of project
24.	20% royalty should be paid to the residents of villager of Lippa	No comments	Since this is a policy of H.P. Govt. and HPPCL is entity of HP. Govt. and as per the new notification of LADF of dated 5 <sup>th</sup> Oct, 2011., after commissioning of the project the Govt. will provide 1 % free power to LADF. The amount so received shall be allotted by LADC in the form of cash transferred to all the families of the project affected area, yearly , during the entire life span of the project.

Details of issues raised during Public Hearing on 29-05-2009 at 11:00 AM for proposed Integrated Kashang HEP, Organized by H. P. State Pollution Control Board at Village Pangi, Tehsil Kalpa, Distt. Kinnaur H.P.:-

Sr. No	Issues Raised	Remarks on the issues raised	
1.	Environmental Management	Environmental Management Plan will be strictly implemented and will be regularly monitored by the State Pollution Control Board.	as per the provisioned of Rs. 5150.51 Lakhs in EMP Rs 10571.54 Lakhs had been

2.	During the implementation of CAT Plan local people should be involved.	The CAT Plan is implemented by the HP Forest Department and project proponent will send this request to the Forest Department.	Verbally communicated by the concerned forest Deptt. that the CAT plan implementation is carried out by the local people at large. it was conveyed by the Forest department that the implementation works of CAT plan are being done by local contractors.
3.	How much time will this project take for commissioning as local resident of Pangi village are suffering from pollution due to inordinate delay in the construction of project?	It is likely to be completed by 2013.	As far as construction work of Integrated Kashang HEP stage- I is commissioned on March, 2016 and the commercial generation has started from Sept., 2016.
4.	Employment should be given to the Local People in the project and free electricity should be provided to the Project Affected Area.	Employment will be given as per the HP state Govt. Notification and preference will be given to the project affected people.	As per the HP Govt. notification employment will be provided to the local people and as envisaged in R&R plan of HPPCL, the main PAF who are eligible for direct employment but have not been provided employment will be given a special Rehabilitation/employment grant equivalent to the 1000 days of minimum wages for labour per family. Where as in case of Integrated Kashang HEP Stage- I, 63 No. of persons were engaged in HPPCL through work order / Outsource basis and 106 no., 46 no. & 26 no. with its main contractors i.e. M/s HCC and M/s Patel Engineering and M/s Andritz Hydro respectively and same practice will be followed in case of Stage II &III ( Lippa Village)

5.	Pastures in the Project Area are	The muck/debris generated	Since majority of the
5.	Pastures in the Project Area are getting damaged due to the construction of Project road?	The muck/debris generated due to construction of project road is being utilized for the construction of road by way of filling and no damage has been caused to the pastures.	Since majority of the components of the IKHEP are underground and very limited components/ structures are on the open surface. i.e. Diversion structures and dumping yards, in case of Integrated Kashang HEP Stage-I, road connectivity to the area is already there, widening of the existing road and only 1000-1500 mts. approach road was constructed, up to the diversion structure by adopting cut and fill type activity and muck generated was used for the same. Dumping of muck is being done on the designated dumping sites. And all the dumping yards are of temporary use and after completion of dumping, the diverted land shall be returned back to the HP Forest department after proper reclamation. There is no question of damage to the pasture of land due to construction of roads. More over it has facilitated the villagers, in their day to day life due to connectivity of approach roads to their Dogri and forest areas and same sequence will follow in Lippa Valley.
6.	As it was decided by the Project proponent that all the infrastructure building such as school, hospital and colonies will be constructed in the Pangi village but now it is proposed to construct these structures at Reckong-Peo?	The project proponent clarified that the residential colony (Type-I, II) and dispensary will be constructed at village Pangi for Kashang HEP Stage-I.	Colony for O&M and Police chowky Building, Community hall for PAA of Pangi and Dispensary building was constructed in the acquired land of IKHEP Stage- I at Pangi Village as per the previously conveyed compliances but due to heavy snow fall & torrential rain fall and cloud burst in

			the month of Jan., Feb. & June, 2013 respectively heavy damages to the residential colony has occurred. During the reporting period Design team from Design office visited the Project site and submitted their drawing for strengthening the damage portion. But the GSI team declared this area highly vulnerable to landslide/subsidence and no construction is recommended. Rest status remains the same as per the status already conveyed vide previous Compliance Period ending Dec., 2016.
7.	Cracks have developed in the houses of local peoples due to construction of Project roads?	Any damage caused due to construction activity shall be compensated by the project proponent.	This issue is closed. Project proponent has already paid Rs105.Lacs in lieu damage to structures due to construction activities in IKHEP Stage-I as per the version of LADC.
8.	Water from Kirang Khud should not be diverted into Kashang Khud .	This proposal is not feasible.	This proposal is not feasible.
9.	During the Project road construction Breast Walls have not been provided due to which cracks are appearing in the adjoining land?	The project proponent has assured to start construction work of breast walls wherever necessary very shortly.	This Issue is closed. Since all the activities completed in IKHEP Stage-I and Project proponent has complied all the Commitments made in the Public hearing.
10.	Due to unmettled Project road dust is being generated and effecting the local inhabitants?	It is not feasible to metal the project road during the construction stage, but water sprinkler will be provided to suppress the dust emission.	Sprinkling of the water is being carried out through water tankers deployed at various locations Sprinkling is being carried out with specific periodicity and interval and records are being kept in PIU.
11.	Damage caused to Kuhl due to construction of Project road has not been repaired.	Project proponent ensured to take Immediate effective steps to restore the damaged	This issue is closed as Project proponent has already compensated for the same by providing HDPE pipes to the

		Kuhl.	affected villagers of PAA.
12.	How many dumping sites have been proposed and where crushers will be established?	13 dumping sites have been proposed and two stone crushing units have been proposed, one at Intake site and other at Akpa/Powerhouse.	Thirteen dumping sites have been proposed for Integrated Kashang HEP out of which five sites are being used for dumping of excavated material & compliance of the same is being submitted monthly to the HPSPCB,
13.	Study should be conducted with respect to adverse impact on the horticulture and agriculture.	It was clarified by the project proponent that most of the project components are underground and there will be no significant adverse effect on horticulture and agriculture.	Govt. of HP, Department of MPP and Power has formulated uniform policy for compensation for damage to crops during the construction of Hydro Electric Projects on dated 11 <sup>th</sup> July, 2011. Further in case of Integrated Kashang HEP Stage -I a committee has been constituted to assess the compensation for Horticulture and Agriculture crops and the committee has visited the PAA of IKHEP Stage I i.e village Pangi. During the reporting period on the basis of the recommendation of the Committee project proponent had deposited Rs,1.69 Crore with the local administration in lieu of compensation for damage to crops during the construction of IKHEP Stage-I.

- 13. Issue of Scientific study has been discussed in length in Para "10 of Environment Public Hearing dated 28.05.20109 at Lippa"
- 14. The wildlife life sanctuary exists at a distance of 1.5 Km from stage- IV. And project Proponent has obtained the Clearance from NBWL in its 31st meeting held on 12<sup>th</sup> &13<sup>th</sup> August, 2014 under the Chairmanship of Hon'ble minister of State for Environment Forests and Climate Change Copy of clearances letter has already conveyed in Privious compliance report.

**15.** Copy of news paper cutting of the advertisement has already conveyed in various previous compliance Report

#### **Environment Clearance Compliance**

Kashang HEP has been renamed as Integrated Kashang HEP. Environmental Clearance for the Project has been accorded vide Additional Director, GOI, and MoEF letter No. J-12011/13/2002-IA.I dated 15<sup>th</sup> Nov, 2002. Extension for this clearance has also been accorded vide GOI, MOEF letter No. J-12011/13/2002-IA.I dated 18.01.2008. The status of ongoing works further intimated to Additional Director MOEF, GOI, New Delhi vide DGM Kashang HEP, Rekong-Peo letter No: 2264-65 dated: 8.7.2008. Now, since the work on the Project has commenced within the extension period granted up to 15.11.2008, the clearance will remain valid for entire construction period. Moreover, environment clearance for all the stages of Integrated Kashang HEP (243 MW) has already been accorded by the GOI, MoEF vide letter No. J-12011/81/2007-IA.I dated 16/4 2010.

Compliance status of conditions of the Environment Clearance stipulated for Integrated Kashang HEP Stage-I, II, III & IV (243MW) is given as under:-.

(I) Catchment area Treatment Plan as has been proposed should be completed in 4 years. The plan is given below	<ul> <li>CAT Plan was proposed for IKHEP Stage-I for Rs 3.03 Crores.</li> <li>Revised EMP, the provision of amount of CAT plan for IKHEP (243 MW) @ 2.5% i.e. Rs 30.50 Crores is kept as per the new notification.</li> <li>HPPCL has deposited the proportionate amount of CAT plan's bill raised by H.P. Forest Department i.e. Rs 13.03. Crores.</li> <li>Utilization of Rs. Rs 11.7139 crore has received from HP Forest Department.</li> </ul>
(II) The land holding of project affected persons whose land is being acquired to be submitted to this ministry. The benefits for the land losing households will be as per the Rehabilitation & Resettlement Policy, 2006, Government of Himachal Pradesh. Adequate publicity of the compensation package should be circulated in the affected villages	<ul> <li>Acquisition of land for the construction of Stage-I has been completed</li> <li>Project proponent had submitted the List of MPFs to the MoEF through its various Compliance reports i.e. the compliance report of dated 22.06.2012.</li> <li>Acquisition of land for stage II, III &amp; IV is under way.</li> <li>The benefits to the land losing household's are admissible as per the Rehabilitation &amp; Resettlement plan.</li> <li>Project proponent is implementing the</li> </ul>

#### **Part A: Specific Conditions:**

	various R&R Schemes.
	IKHEP, HPPCL has disbursed the land compensation @1,04,000 per biswa (Rs. 20,80,000/ Bigha or Rs2,76,59,574.74 per ha) which is one of the highest rate of land compensation in the Stat apart from this Project proponent had disbursed the resettlement grant to the eligible land looser as per the R&R plan of HPPCL
(III)The Commitment made during the public hearing should be fulfilled.	Project Proponent is committed to comply with all the commitments made during Public hearing and compliance of Commitment made during Environment public hearing is separately discussed in 'Para 13'.
(IV) A scientific study from a reputed institute for deciding the minimum flow to be released during the lean season should be undertaken. Till the study is completed 15% of the average flow of four consecutive leanest months should be maintained for environmental flow. After the study is completed release of minimum flow should be study based or 15% whichever is higher.	Status same as conveyed in the previous 6th monthly compliance period ending June 2016. Scientific study from a reputed institute for deciding the minimum flow require to be releasing during the lean season is in process and project proponent has decided to carry out the Study through IIT Roorkee and project proponent had submitted the Executive summary of EIA/EMP and DPR to the IIT Roorkee and project Environment cell is continuously pursuing the matter telephonically with the IIT Roorkee Dr. Arun Kumar HOD Hydrology of IIT Roorkee apprised to the Project proponent that, ' this aspect of Hydrology is carried out in detail in CEIA and separate study in IKHEP may not be required on receipt of CEIA project proponent may go through the report and if feels like carrying the study may carry out. Since the CEIA report of the Satluj basin is yet not finalized or reveled to the Public domain or project proponent has not received the copy of the same. Moreover Project proponent is committed to release the 15% of the average flow of four consecutive leanest months for environmental flow. Since the construction of IKHEP, Stage -I is completed and as per the commitment made by the Project proponent , in

	previous compliances, project proponent had installed the Continuous flow measuring device in intake for measurement of the 15% e-flow. Which can be verified from www.hppcblive/live/kashang. The construction of Stage II &III is yet not under taken due to matter pending in the NGT, no diversion of water is undertaken yet and 100% of its flow is flowing through its main course of stream /Khad or same stream moreover this aspect of EMP is also incorporated in the EMP of Satluj basin and the aspect is dealt by the IIT Roorkee.
(V) As committed during Environmental Public hearing, the project proponent should clear the shoal formed by silt brought by Garang (also known as Pazzar) a left bank tributary of Kerang khad near village Lippa well downstream of the project by either releasing enough downstream discharge during summer months or through other means	Project Proponent is committed to comply all the commitments made during Public hearing. Status of commitment is addressed under Para A of compliance under Public Hearings. Due to pending litigation in the NGT, PAA of Lippa village are not cooperating with the Project proponent. And project proponent is continuously pursuing the matter with the villagers and taking guidance from the ADB's Environmental Experts in this matter. Team comprising ADB Environment Specialist of ADB Mr. Ralf Gunter Starkloff visited the project site to have dialogue with the villagers of Lippa and Pangi, but Villagers of Lippa did not allow the ADB mission to even entering the village, however, ADB mission had a meeting with the Pradhan of Lippa along with the two other villagers at the District Head Quarter at Reckong Peo, and discussed the various issues of Lippa & visited the Pangi Village and sites of IKHEP stage I and had meetings with the affected people. Finally continuous efforts of Project proponent leads to address the issues of Lippa villagers even after the Judgment of NGT against Environment Clearance and Forest Clarence which were Pronounced by the NGT on 28.01.2016 and 4.05.2016 respectively , in case of Appeal No 14/20111(T). Fnnaly project proponent succeeded to convince the villager and able to started the construction of works from Lippa side. Now peoples of Lippa are welcoming

	the Project Proponent, project proponent is committed fulfill the commitment made in Hon'ble NGT to address the Pazer Issue by Providing sufficient Budget amounting to Rs. 1.88 Crores for removal of Shoal formed at the confluence of Kerang & Pezzar Khads Since the villagers of Lippa are now welcoming the Construction work from Lippa side & Project proponent had placed one Sr. Manager along with the Staff of AE Civil and JO R&R at Spillo for the execution of infrastructure works and other auxiliary works .During this non working season the process of paper formalities for the commitments made in NGT and with the Local is being initiated with the Govt. and HPPCL Management.
(VI) All the equipment which are likely to generate high noise levels is to be fully mollified (noise Reduction measures).	<b>This issue is closed</b> . In case of IKHEP Stage –I condition was fully complied and in case of IKHEP stage II & III this condition will be complied.
(VII) Consolidation and compilation of the muck should be carried-out in the muck dumping sites and the dumping sites should be above high flood level. The proposed plan for the generated muck of 1.17Mm3, of which 0.3Mm3 is proposed to be reutilized for construction material & other filling purpose and remaining 0.879 Mm3 of muck which goes-up 1.231 Mm3 (after increased volume) should be disposed of at 13 designate disposal areas should be strictly implemented.	Excavation work of IKHEP Stage-I has completed and the construction works of Stage II & III was not taken up from Lippa side due to litigation pending in the NGT, hence only 1 No. of dumping site is being used out of which dumping of muck near power house and IPS is complete. Dumping in these sites has been stopped after the completion of excavation work. But due to shooting of stones from the uphill region and improper off loading of muck/debris piled up along the NH-22 by BRO directly down the valley side/powerhouse complex, has badly damaged the gabion walls which were strengthened /restored. Strengthening works of gabions at Power house and IPS has completed. The utilization of excavated muck were being done as per the approval of the material and as on now approximately 25 to 30% of the excavated material has been recycled. Project proponent is encouraging for maximum utilization of excavated muck. Process of Biological treatment of the dumping site is in progress through expert contractors having

	experience in relevant field & the reclamation works of utilized dumping site at Power House and IPS is in progress
(VIII) Compensatory afforestation programme proposed in 86 ha should be implemented in to-to. The proposed greenbelt development in 6 ha. Using 13 different plant species along the approach roads, residential areas, office complex, trench weir sites, powerhouse sites etc at a cost of Rs. 55 lakhs should be taken- up strictly	Rs. 1,19,57,406/- & Rs. 1,58,64,073/- were deposited against CA and NPV respectively with HPFD and due to un - availability of Forest land in the Kinnaur circle, against CA of IKHEP stage II &III HPFD, had diverted the funds to Rampur circle for Compensatory afforestation of 36 ha as intimated by divisional Forest officer Kinnaur. But as per the intervention of NGT and request of project proponent, regarding afforestaid agency has re-scheduled the APO and allocated / Ear marked CA over the 30 Ha of land for Compensatory Afforestation (CA of IKHEP Stage II &III) DFO Kinnaur , has submitted the Physical and Financial progress of Rs. 68531'-, up to 30 Sept. 2019- in lieu of Budget proviso for the FY 2019-20 amounting to Rs 4,55,000/-CA over 30 ha ( in Lippa and in UF Jangi , 10 ha in C-215 Lippa and 10 ha in UF Asarang Area .
(IX) The fisheries management plan for stocking of fish in the streams, tributaries of Sutlej River and the main river itself @ 20,000 fry about 30 mm size fingerlings/annum should be strictly adhered. A total budget of Rs. 105 lakhs is allocated for this purpose should not be diverted.	Out of total outlay of Fishery Management cost i.e. Rs 303.00 Lacs, IKHEP share is Rs.105.00 lacs and Project proponent will have to deposit in five equal installment of Rs 21.00 lacs each and as on date project proponent has deposited Rs 42 lacs with the Director of Fisheries and also requested the Director Fisheries for its financial and physical progress. During the reporting period UC/ Physical and financial Progress of Rs 40,81,215/ had been reported by the Director cum Warden and assured that the rest of the balance payment will be disbursed / spent in Fisheries development activities in Kinnaur area.
<ul> <li>(X) The proposed Wildlife Management, Development and Conservation of Biodiversity Plan of the sanctuary at a cost of Rs. 100 lakhs spread over 10 years should be taken up strictly in the area outlined in the plan (as mentioned in EMP-Chapter-5)</li> </ul>	Wildlife Management is a part of CAT Plan and has been reported under CAT Plan Head. Similarly during the reporting period Divisional Forest Officer, Wildlife Sarahan has implemented on various activities & Rs. 80, 29,462/- has been spent by DFO wildlife Sarahan up to Sept. 2019 on various activities with respect

without any diversion of funds.	to wildlife Management which is one of the component of CAT plan of Integrated Kashang HEP & DFO Wild life has conveyed the physical and financial progress of Rs 20,162/-during the financial year 2019-20as is achived <b>Annexure-G</b>
(XI) The Wildlife sanctuary exists at a distance of 1.5 km from stage-IV. The Clearance from Steering Committee of NBWL under Wildlife (Protection) Act. 1972 should be obtained.	During 31 <sup>st</sup> meeting standing Committee of National Board for wildlife held on12th - 13 <sup>th</sup> August 2014 at Indira Paryavaran Bhawan, New Delhi and has recommended the proposal and MOEF Govt of India has conveyed the Clearance Vide its F No.6-47/2014-WL(31 <sup>st</sup> Meeting) GoI, MoEF&CC dated03.12.2014 Copy already enclosed as Annexure-EC-III in previous compliance. In compliance to the NBWL's recommendation that the Project proponent has deposited Rs 9.5605 i.e. 2% of the Project cost for Core against integrated Kashang HEP Stage I for the monitoring of .
Part –B: General Conditions	
<ul> <li>(I) Adequate free fuel arrangement should be made for the labour forces engaged in the construction work at project cost so that indiscriminate felling of trees is prevented.</li> </ul>	L.P.G./kerosene oil heaters are being provided to the labour by the contractors and subcontractors of HPPCL. Community kitchen is functional for all and no fuel-wood from forest is being used for the labourer engaged in the construction activities of project, So far, during reporting period i.e., April 2019Sept. 2019, 73 numbers of cylinders (19Kgs/Cylinder) by M/S Patel Engineering , have been provided to the workers besides running a community kitchen/mess at different locations, Since the Construction activities of Stage –I. being implemented by M/S HCC is complete now
(II) Fuel depot may be opened at the site to provide the fuel (Kerosene/ wood/LPG) Medical facilities as well as recreational facilities should also be provided to the labours.	

	Andritz Hydro Ltd. respectively. Time to time Medical camps were organized by HPPCL in Project affected areas and free medicines distributed. The main executing agency i:e M/s Patel Engineering Ltd. is providing adequate medical and recreational facilities for labourers by providing Television, sports kits etc.
	During the reporting period, i.e. April 2019 to Sept. 2019 expenditure incurred for providing medical facilities, by main contractor i.e. M/s PEL are as under:-
	<ol> <li>Compounder and Tr. Medical Staff Expenses Rs. 1,03,226/-</li> <li>Purchasing medicines 71,400</li> </ol>
(III) All the labours to be engaged for construction works should also be thoroughly examined by health personnel and adequately treated before issuing them work permit	Prior to the enrollment in the execution activities all, the laboures are thoroughly checked up and after ensuring the complete fitness the labourers are being engaged, Routine/ time to time checkups are being followed up by the Main contractors. All new labourers being enrolled are subjected to medical check-ups

(IV) Restoration of construction area including dumping site of excavated material should be ensured by leveling, filling up of burrow pits, landscaping etc. The area should be properly treated with suitable plantation.	The muck management proposal included in the EMP which includes engineering and biological measures for stabilization and reclamation of designated muck dumping sites. Out of 13 no. of dumping sites only 5 no. of dumping sites are being used. Excavation works of IKHEP Stage-I is complete except balancing reservoir and the construction works of Stage-II & III not under taken from Lippa side due to litigation pending in the NGT, hence only 5 No. of dumping sites were used out of which dumping of muck near power house and IPS is complete. Dumping in these sites has been stopped after the completion of excavation work. Since dumping is complete in these dumping sites near power house site and IPS Site. Now all the restoration works of damaged protection works near power house and the engineering measurers/ restoration works of dumping sites at Intake, Power house and IPS have been completed which were damaged by the BRO as on June, 2016. As on date. 12,872 nos of create walls were constructed at various sites of IKHEP. Power House site = 11,871 Nos. Intake site. = 351 Nos IPS site- = 650 Nos The above mentioned gabion walls have been raised/constructed and now project proponent has started the reclamation of dumping sites at power House and IPS completed through the Contractor having expertise in the releventfield in consultation with HPFD & works is in Progress.
<ul><li>(V) Financial provision should be made in the total budget of the project for implementation of the above suggested safeguards measures</li></ul>	A provision of Rs 51.50 Crore has been kept for implementation of the EMP which includes the cost of implementation of these safeguards. Apart from this 1.5% of the total project cost is also kept as Local Area Development Fund, and a sum of Rs

	7.06 crore has already been deposited with the local Area Development authority against Stage-I and process of releasing of next installment is in process against Stage-II & III subject to the outcome of NGT Judgment. 1 <sup>st</sup> installment of LADA amounting to Rs 72.10 lacs has already been deposited with the LADC out of Rs. 7.21 crore. Since the IKHEP is registered as CDM project and revenue earned from the sale of certified emission reduction certificate (CER) 2% of the revenue will also be spent for the community development activities. However, it has been assured by the Management that adequate funds for implementation of EMP shall be made available.
<ul><li>(VI) Six monthly monitoring reports should be submitted to be Ministry and its Regional Office, Chandigarh for review.</li></ul>	Monitoring reports are being submitted regularly. Last report for the period endingJune, 2019 was sent vide GM Kashang letter No HPPCL/(/IK)/E&F(K- I)(XX/2019-20- 3168-72 -dated 05.11.2019copy attached as Annexure -
6. Officials from Regional Office MOEF, Chandigarh who would be monitoring the implementation of environmental safeguards should be given full cooperation, facilities and documents/data by the project proponents during their inspection.	During this period none of the representative of Regional office MOEF Chandigarh/ Dehradun has visited the project site of IKHEP. HPPCL commits to provide full co-operation and to ensure documents/data available to the official (s) from MOEF, Chandigarh/ Deharadun during the inspection.
7. The responsibility of implementation of environmental safeguards rests fully with the Himachal Pradesh Power Corporation Ltd. & Government of Himachal Pradesh.	Project proponent is abiding by the condition. And for effective implementation of safeguard policies HPPCL has established an Environment cell at each project level with Experienced & qualified Environment and R&R staff
8. The total amount of Rs. 51.50 Crores kept in the budgetary provisions for implementation of environmental management plan should be strictly adhered and not to be diverted for any other purpose.	Project proponent is abiding by the condition.
9. In case of change in the scope of the project would require a fresh appraisal.	No change in the scope is envisaged at present.
10. The Ministry reserve the right to add additional safeguard measures subsequently, if found necessary and to take action including revoking of the clearance under the provisions	In case of IKHEP Stage I, no additional safeguard measures were imposed by MOEF.

of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, to	
ensure effective implementation of the suggested safeguard measures in a time bound and satisfactory manner.	
11. This clearance letter is valid for a period of 10 years from the date of issue of this letter for commencement of construction work.	Project has already commenced
12. A copy of the clearance letter shall be sent by the proponent to concerned Panchayat, Zilla Parishad/ Municipal Corporation, Urban Local NGO, if any from whom any suggestions/ representations, if any, were received while processing the proposal. The clearance letter shall also be put on the website of the Company by the proponent.	Intimation of the Environmental clearance has been sent to the concerned Panchayat and published in two daily News papers and hosted in HPPCL's official website.
13. The project proponent should advertise at least in two local newspapers widely circulated in the region around the project had been accorded environmental clearance and copies of clearance letters are available with the state Pollution Control Board/ Committee and may also be seen at website of the Ministry of Environment and Forest at http://www.enfor.nic.in	Insertions in the News papers for wide publicity were made as directed. This condition was complied at the time of processing EC clearance and same was conveyed vide previous compliance reports. vide HPPCL/(/IK)/E&F(K-I)(XX/2019-20-3168-72 dated 05.11.2019.
14.The project proponent shall also submit six monthly reports on the status of compliance of the stipulated EC conditions including results of monitored data (both in hard copies as well by e-mail) to the respective Regional office of MOEF, the respective Office of CPCB and the SPCB	Monitoring reports are being submitted regularly. Last report for the period ending June, 2019 was sent vide GM Kashang letter No HPPCL/(/IK)/E&F(K- I)(XX/2019-20- 3168-72 -dated 05.11.2019copy attached as <b>Annexure -H</b>
15. Any appeals against the environmental clearance shall lie with the national Environment Appellate Authority, if preferred with the period of 30 days as prescribed under Section 11 of the National Environment Appellate Authority Act, 1997.	Two writ petitions filed by Bhagat Singh Kinnar Vs Union of India & Devi Gayan Vs Union of India were disposed off in National Green Tribunal , New Delhi on 28th January, 2016. The judgment against Appeals filed in the NGT against Environment and Forest clearance named 14/2011(T) & 28/2013 have been Pronounced by NGT on 28.01.2016 & 4.05.2016 which is available in the official web site of NGT i.e. <u>www.greentribunal.gov.in</u>

H.P. Forest Department.

4022

No.

From: DFO Kinnaur.

To: CF Rampur.

Subject: -

Quarterly progress report of various CAT Plan for the Quarter ending 30.09.2019.

Memo:

The Quarterly progress report of various CAT Plan for the Quarter ending 30.09.2019 in respect of Kinnaur Forest Division is sent herewith on the prescribed proforma for favour of information and necessary action at your end please.

Encl: As above.

Divisional Forests Officer, Kinnaur Forest Division, at R/Peo. R

>			0		71000	n	Plantation.	Int upper moint					
0		0 0	0	0	21000	s	Maint of 1st yr.old	C-233	Pangi (	Kalpa P	Kalpa K	1st year maint.	1
0		0	0	0	143900	65		Total:-Maint Enrichment.	Total:-M			aint.	Herb Maint.
0					19000	10		Total 4th year.	4	" Liftings a			
0					9500	5		C-240	L	Kalpa P.			
0					9500	5	Maint.	C-232(b)	00		ng	1	
												4th year maint.	4
0		0 0		0	81700	43		Total 3rd year Maint.		alle, a	3		
0		0 0			5700	3		UF Asrang		1	Moorang		
10.				0	9500	5		C-216		L	Moorang		
$\sim$					9500	5		C-223 b		J	Moorang		
$\sim$					9500	5	-	C-223 a		J	Moorang		
		0 0			9500	5		UF Thopan		F	Moorang		
		0 0		0	9500	5		UF Khadra		F	Moorang		
	0 0				9500	5		C-232	00	10.1	Moorang		
-					9500	5		C-240-11		Kalpa P			
		0 0		0	9500	5	Maint.	C-234	Pangi (		Kalpa K		
												3rd Year	
	0 0	0 0		0	43200	12	1	Total 2nd yeat Maint.	Tota	2(411/2)	3		114,24
					25200	7		C-240	Pangi (	Kalpa P	Kalpa K		
		0 0			18000	5	Maint.	C-233	Pangi (				
												2nd Year	
			1									Entrument	PIntations.
											tion	Maint. Of Old Plantation	Maint Of ald h
	0 31215	0 0		0	412300	10	1412	<b>Total Plantation</b>			•		
		Γ				5	1.110	Total Enrichment Plantation/ Regenaration	Plantatio	nrichment	Total Ei		
	Γ				Т	5	Plantation.		Pangi	Kalpa It	Kalpa K	Enrichment	
					000200	~	Diamontan						
	0	0 0		0	186000	)	Total NTFP (Herb Plantation)	Total NTFP (H				14.00	
	The second second		and the second		1								
		0	4		18000	U	Plantation.	UF-Rakchikhona	Pangi	Kaipa	Kaipa	Plantation)	NIH
				1	1						ng.	AT Plan: K	te of C
	14	13	12	11	10	9	7	6	s	4	3	2	1
	Fin	Phy	Fin	Phy	Fin.	y in	1					Plan.	
	during the	duri				20					č	per CAT	
	get eved	d Target achieved	Target achieved upto Pre. Otr.	Targe upto I	Target allotted Targ	Target allotted for the year 20	Nam of Works.	Name of Area	Name of Beat	Name of Block	Range	Name of Activity as	Component
1		i		ī		1		wood Namaal Namaal Nama Name of Area Ni		N1	1	AT	

-						3rd year maint. Kalpa	2nd. Year Maint.	
	9 1					Kalpa	Kalpa	
		G.)	Total Maint.			Kalpa	Kalpa	12-1
		G.T.Planatations.	aint.	Total Maint.	Total 3rd year	Pangi	Pangi	- Baaal
		ns,			-	C-234b	Tatal 2nd Vear	C-944k
							Plantation.	Maint of 2nd
		90	80	15	s	s	<u>un .</u>	5
	2	00/200	193400	49500	13000	13000	15500	15500
		g		0	0	0	0	0
an an an an the superior of the second s		ol l		0	0	0	0	0
	Divis Kinna Re email: d			0 0	0	0	0	0
	ional For lur Forest kong Peo livisionkinna	A BAN	31215	0 0	0	0	0	0
	Divisional Forest Officer, Kinnaur Forest Division at Rekong Peo. 172107 email: divisionkinnaur@gmail.com		0	0 0	0	0	0	0
			0	0 0	0	0	•	0

.

[]

-	30/09/2019.		
Sr No.	R&R and other activities	Total Amount	Balance Amount
1	Land Compensation	45,38,52,964	5,36,523
2	Financial Assistance in Lieu of Forest Customary Rights to 510 PAF's.	3,76,40,250	0,00,020
3	R&R Grants	96,97,087	
4	272 No. Works awarded to 45 Contractors (w.e.f year 2008 to 30.09.2019)	17,39,60,844	
5	LADF (Local Area Development Fund) deposited against Stage –I (Rs. 7.06 Crore), Stage-II & III (0.72 Crore) of IKHEP.	7,78,10,000	
6	Damage Compensation	0.00	
i	Compensation for Cracks in houses (151 Families)	25,00,000	
	Additional Compensation for Cracks in houses	80,00,000	
ii	Damage Compensation (5 No. Families)	18,95,873	
7	R&R Activities	0.00	
i	Crop compensation w.e.f. 2010-11 to 2013-14		
ii	Training & Awareness Camps (18 No.)	2,71,31,741	¥
	Merit Scholarship Scheme (26+46+32+38+31+28+15+ 29+25+41=311 Nos.)	4,27,671 25,00,800	
iv	School Competition (10 No.)	67,898	-
v	Sports Tournament (5 No.)	1,01,406	
vi	Varshik Mela (3 No.)		
vii	Project level Medical Fund Scheme	3,10,667	
	(53 beneficiaries) up to Sept., 2019	12,75,696	
/iii	Grant to CBO (Community based Organization)	80.000	
	Total -		
3	Other benefits extended to MPAF's & PAF's of Pangi	79,52,09,126	
	Village under R&R Programme.		
	Sponsored Candidates in various ITI of Himachal Pradesh (50 No. + 4 Nos. (during this year 2019-21).	19,71,648	
i	Indirect Employment in HPPCL through outsource (w.e.f year 2008 to 30-09-2019) = 64 (As on date 35)	4,40,45,399	
	Employment in HCC -69	0.00	-
	Employment in M/s Patel Engineering -46	0.00	-
	M/s Adriz Hydro -9	0.00	-
i	28 Vehicle hired in IKHEP from Pangi village (w.e.f year 2008 to 30.09.2019)	2,38,71,666	d.
)	Other Misc. welfare works	0.00	
	Donation of 4 No .Trees for Construction of Devta Mandir at Village Pangi	7,12,187	
	Purchasing & installation ofAdditional 25 No. Solars Light	4,77,925	
i	Plots (5 Biswa each for 7 families)	36,40,000	
	Plots (5 Biswa each for 7 families) allotted during December,2016	36,40,000	
	Works executed on the demand of Project Affected Panchayat residents as well as other PAP related works as executed as per directions of head of Project.	3,25,36,204	
	Expenditure incurred on other R&R/Welfare activities	75,14,084	
	Total	11,84,09,113	
		91,36,18,239.00	

C Harcrate Steeling Pro, HEPGL, Protong Pro, Dk4t Kinnaur-172107 3,

	Ministry of Environment and Forests					
	Northern regional office Dehradun					
-		ATA SHEET				
1	Project Type	Hydro Electric Project				
2	Name of the Project	Integrated Kashang Hydroelectric Power Project Stage-I, II & III& IV(243MW)				
3	Clearance letter (S) O.M NO. &dates	Environment clearance for Integrated Kashang HEP vide letter No. J-12011/81/2007-IA.1 dated 16/04/2010. Environment clearance for Kashang HEP (66 MW) letter No. J-12011/13/2002-IA.1 dated 15/11/2002. Forest Clearance for Stage –I vide letter NO. F- No.8-8/2001-FC dated 23 <sup>rd</sup> June 2004. Forest Clearance for Stage II & III vide letter No. F- No.9HPC366/09-CHA dated 14 <sup>th</sup> June 2011.				
4	Location: a)District (S) b)State (S) c) Latitudes/Longitudes	Kinnaur Himachal Pradesh Latitude: 31° 37' 30" N to 31° 39' 21.3" N Longitude: 78° 17' 30" E to 78° 21' 36.4" E				
5	Address for correspondence:	Dy.General Manager (C) Cum HoP, Integrated Kashang HEP Stage II &III, Kinfed Building, HPPCL, Reckong Peo, Distt. Kinnaur.				
6	Salient features: a) Of the Project b) Of the Environmental Management plans	Integrated Kashang Hydroelectric Project is proposed for development using waters of Kashang and Kerang streams, right bank tributaries of river Sutlej. The project is located in Kinnaur district of Himachal Pradesh and is owned by HPPCL, Kashang and Kerang river valleys are adjacent to each other and are separated by a high altitude ridge in the area of the project. Topographic features permit diversion of Kashang khad at an altitude of roughly 2830 m to an underground powerhouse located on the right bank of Sutlej river, developing a head of approximately 830 m. Topographic and geological features between Kerang and Kashang valleys are also conducive for diversion of Kerang khad, which has higher inflows than Kashang, into the Kashang water conductor system for significant augmentation of generating capacity at Kashang power house.				

<ul> <li>comprises of four distinct stages of development:</li> <li>Stage-I, comprising of diversion of the Kashang stream, at El. 2829 m, to an underground powerhouse located on the right bank of Sutlej near Powari village, developing a head of approximately 830 m;</li> <li>Stage-II, comprising of diversion of the Kerang stream, at El. 2870 m, into an underground water conductor system</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Kashang stream, at El. 2829 m, to an underground powerhouse located on the right bank of Sutlej near Powari village, developing a head of approximately 830 m;</li> <li>Stage-II, comprising of diversion of the Kerang stream, at El. 2870 m, into an underground water conductor system</li> </ul>
Kerang stream, at El. 2870 m, into an underground water conductor system
leading the upstream end of Stage-I water conductor system;
• Stage-III, consisting of augmenting the generating capacity of Stage-I powerhouse using Kerang waters over the 820 m head available in Kashang Stage-I powerhouse.
<ul> <li>Stage-IV, comprising of more or less independent scheme harnessing the power potential of Kerang stream upstream of the diversion site of Stage-II. In this scheme, a head of approximately 300 m could be utilized to develop power in an underground powerhouse located on the right bank of Kerang</li> </ul>
The following components of EMP are being implemented:-
<ol> <li>Catchment Area Treatment Plan.</li> <li>Implementation of Resettlement &amp; Rehabilitation Plan.</li> <li>Compensatory Afforestation.</li> <li>Muck Management Plan.</li> <li>Health Management Plan.</li> <li>Provision for Subsidized Fuel.</li> <li>Disaster Management Plan.</li> <li>Environment Monitoring Plan.</li> </ol>

7	<ul> <li>Breakup of the project area</li> <li>a) Submergence area: forest and non- forest.</li> <li>b) Others</li> </ul>	Since it is a run of the river scheme there will be no submergence of forest and non-forest area.					be no
8	Break up of project affected population with enumeration of those losing house/dwelling units only both dwelling units and agriculture land and landless labourers/artisans. a) SC/ST/adivasis b) Others Please indicate whether these figures are based on any scientific and systematic survey carried out or only provisional figures. if a survey has been carried out give details and year of survey.	f out of which MPFs are 180 (loosing lands/ dwelling units) on the basis of land acquisition data FRA status					
9	Financial details: a ) projects cost as originally planned and	The stage wise project cost as per DPR is as under:- a.					nder:-
	Subsequent revised estimates and the year of price reference.	Stage of the project	Civil Wor	k E & Work		Total	
		Ι	288.79	246.5	0	535.29	
		II & III	274.28	97.50		371.78	
		IV	186.87	108.5	0	295.37	
		Total	749.94	452.5	0	1202.44	
		As per the approved TEC now revised cos stage I,II &III:-					
		Project	Civil	E&M	Cha	ncial	tal
		Stage-I	290.13	114.67	73.2	1 47	8.01

	Stage- II&III	303.18	123.33	61.68	488.19	
b)Allocation made for environmental	Total	593.31	238.00	134.89	966.20	
management plans with item wise and Year wise break up.	Μ	otal alloca anagement	Plan is R	s. 5150.60 I	Lacks.	
C) Benefit cost ratio/Internal rate of return and the year of assessment.	en c. A pr	Id year wi closed as s per the l oject is esti	"Annex DPR cost mated at	<b>ure –C1"</b> t benefit r	atio of t	
d ) Whether (c) includes the cost of environmental management as shown in b ) above	of returns is 12.2%.					
e) Actual expenditure incurred on the project so far.	fa	ctual expen r is appende ne expenditi	ed as " <b>An</b>	nexure-C2		
F ) actual expenditure incurred on the environmental management plans so far:		s under:-		diture (in I		
	CAT Pla	n	13,03,0			
	Compen Afforest	•	stage-I	3,66,650 & Rs 1,19 stage-II&I		
	Net Pres	ent Value	stage-I.	54,136 Rs 1,58 stage II&II	,64,079	
	Pollution Board	n Control	1,29,97	7,400		
	Deptt. Science Technol	of Env. and		00/-		

		Fisheries	42,00,000
		Management plan	+2,00,000
		Wanagement plan	
		Total expenditure	17,95,82,256/-
10	Forest land requirement:	a. Total Forest L	and required for IKHEP
	a) The status of approval for diversion of forest land for	Stage of the project	Forest Land (Hac.)
	non- forestry use.	Ι	18.7142
		II & III	17.6857
		IV	25.5000
		Total	61.8999
		approval for diversion purpose has been obt F. No- 8-8/2001-FC d of 17.6857 Hact. of f Stage II & III(130M MOEF New Delhi vid 366/09-CHA dated diversion of forest la	I of the project is concerned of forest land for non forestry ained from MOEF vide letter. lated 23 <sup>rd</sup> June2004 .Diversion forest land for construction of fW) has been accorded by de MOEF letter F. No. 9HPC 14 <sup>th</sup> June 2011.Whereas the and i:e 25.5000 Hect. against n proposed for which revenue essed.
	b) The status of clear felling.	earmarked for got felled and deposited wit Department, saved by proje &III, MOEF Clearance alc of 630 No. o undertaken b	ong with the felling approval of trees which is yet to be y the project proponent.
	c. The status of compensatory	1 1	Afforestation of 38 Ha. has so far against stage-I. Against
	afforestation, if any.		June 2011 vide letter no
	d. Comments on the viability &	0	P/Env.R&R-4/Vol-VII/2011-
	sustainability of compensatory afforestation		d 02.06.11. In lieu of CA
	compensatory afforestation programme in the light of	against 17.685	7 hect. of Forest Land diverted

	actual field experience so far.	against Stage II III, Rs 1,19,57,406/- has					
		been deposited with HP Forest Department					
		vide letter No. HPPCL/IKHEP/E&RR-IV-					
		V0l/2010-11-11410-13 dated 31/03/2011					
		DFO Kinnaur has conveyed physical and					
		financial progress during the last Financial					
		year DFO Kinnaur had conveyed					
		Rs11.71Crore up to 31.03.2019. During this					
		first half year DFO.					
11	The status of clear felling in non	Since it is a runoff the river (R-O-R) scheme					
	forest areas (such as submergence	no submergence is involved thereof.					
	area of reservoir approach road) if						
10	any, with quantitative information.						
12	Status of construction:-	Data of common compart 0.04 2000 for Store					
	a) Date of commencement	a. Date of commencement 9.04.2009, for Stage-					
	(actual and/ or planned)	I, 12 .11.2010 for Stage II &III.					
	b ) Date of completion (actual and/ or Planned)	h Interneted Kashang LIED Stage I was					
	rianneu)	b. Integrated Kashang HEP Stage- I was commissioned in the month of Sept. 2016.					
		Stage II &III due to resistance by the					
		villagers of Lippa. Now after the disposal of					
		NGT case villagers of Lippa are now					
		welcoming the Project proponent for the					
		Construction work.					
13	Reason for delay if the projects is yet	Due to Torrential rain and cloud burst incident					
-•	to start:	during the month of June 2013. Construction works					
		of Integrated Kashang HEP Stage –I, were					
		hampered, and as far as stage II & III is concerned					
		due to litigation against Environmental Clearance					
		and Forest Clearance case of Stage II & III at NGT					
		the work of IKHEP Stage II &III could not be					
		started.					

Sl. No.	Particular	Amount (Rs. in Lakhs)
1	Catchment Area Treatment Plan	3050.60
2	Implementation of Resettlement & Rehabilitation Plan	300.00
3	Compensatory Afforestation	541.00
4	Greenbelt Development	55.00
5	Wildlife Management and Biodiversity Conservation Plan*	100.00*
6	Muck Management Plan	552.00
7	Restoration Plan for Quarry Sites	64.00
8	Landscape and Restoration Plan	26.00
9	Health Management Plan	65.00
10	Provision for Subsidized Fuel*	113.00*
11	Sold Waste Management	228.00
12	Disaster Management Plan	40.00
13	Environment Monitoring Plan	124.00
14	Fisheries Development Plan	105.00
	TOTAL	5150.60

## Cost for Implementing Environment Management Plan

\*The cost of these plans has already been included in the Catchment Area Treatment Plan.

#### Cost Estimate of CAT Plan

S1. No.	Particulars	Amount (Rs. Lacs)
1	Treatment Works under free draining catchment.	
a)	Biological Measures	495.56
b)	Engineering Measures.	418.90
2	Treatment Works Under Impact Area.	
a)	Biological Measures	120.00
b)	Engineering Measures.	80.00
3	Treatment Works under private land	
a)	Biological Measures	29.77
b)	Engineering Measures.	10.49
	Sub Total (1) + (2) + (3)	1154.72
4	Implementation of Support Infrastructure Cost	35.23
5	Fuel wood saving devices.	14.40
6	Training and Extension Programme	15.25
7	Preparation of Micro Plans	30.50
8	Documentation	15.25
9	Gender Support	15.25
10	Funds for Educational Activities related to Medicinal Plant Sector	30.50
11	Monitoring of silt	36.00
12	Development of Eco-tourism	50.00
13	Provision for floristic survey	20.00
14	Support for Eco-task force	61.00
15	Provision for Monitoring and Evaluation Activities	152.00
16	Provision for providing Environmental Services.	305.00
17	Provision for forest protection	50.00
18	Provision for forest infrastructure development	100.00
19	Provision for rural infrastructure development	214.40
20	Publicity and environmental awareness	20.00
21	Capacity building	25.00
22	Wildlife Management and Biodiversity Conservation Plan (Chapter-5)	100.00
23	Provision for Subsidized Fuel (Chapter-10)	113.00
24	Cost escalation at 10% per year	493.10
	Grand Total	3050.60

ial Balance for the financial yea	2019)	-	IKHEP	
lal Balance for the financial yea	Balance Carryforward	Debit during	Credit during the year	Accumulated balance
count Number/Head of Account	As on 01.04.2019			As on 31.10.2019
00/1000001 CASH A/C KASHANG	6,417.00		82,468.00	9,330.00
00/1000200 STAMPS IN HAND	2,283.00	and the second sec		5,009.00
000/1010300 SBI (KASHANG)-6943	7,066,272.00			7,066,272.00
00/1010301 SBI (KASHANG)-6943	1,005,770,158.27	3,776,049.00	the second se	1,009,537,552.27
00/1010302 SBI (KASHANG)-6943	-1,011,562,488.69	480,537.00		-1,015,406,650.69
00/1010802 HDFC (SHONGTONG)4868	0.00	and the second sec		0.00
00/1020361 HDFC (KASHANG) 2461	445,447,397.92	195,663,121.00	and the second se	631,107,768.92
00/1020362 HDFC (KASHANG) 2461	-443,884,730.39	51,950.00		-623,710,010.39
00/1050000 LAND - LEASEHOLD	11,000.00	0.00		515,272,597.48
00/1050001 LAND - FREEHOLD	515,272,597.48		and a first state of the local s	80,298,120.00
00/1050001 LAND - FREEHOLD	80,298,120.00	0.00	and the second sec	12,041,895.02
00/1050010 RESIDENT BUILDINGS	12,041,895.02	0.00	the second s	56,757,459.99
00/1050011 NON-RES. BUILDING	56,757,459.99	0.00	the second se	6,648,043.00
00/1050012 TEMP SHEDS/IRRECTION	6,648,043.00			0,048,043.00
00/1050020 "RDS, BRDG TRF TUNNE		0.00		6,344,681,624.75
00/1050030 PROJECT CIVIL WORKS	6,344,681,624.79 3,140,888,000.70		and a second sec	3,140,888,000.70
00/1050031 PROJECT E&M WORK 00/1050041 OFFICE MACHINARY		0.00	and the second sec	1,593,465.70
	1,593,465.78		0.00	6,919,100.8
	6,874,305.00 7,490,223.34	0.00	0.00	7,490,223.34
00/1050070 FURNITURE & FIXTURE 00/1050080 COMPUTER & DATA PROC	4,474,042.91	118,850.11	0.00	4,592,893.02
00/1050090 SOFTWARE	310,249.00	0.00	0.00	310,249.00
00/1050100 VEHICLES	1,502,041.00	0.00	0.00	1,502,041.00
00/1050100 VEHICLES	774,723.00	0.00	0.00	774,723.00
standing whether watch and present the standard state of the state of	the second se	0.00	0.00	1,549,446.00
00/1050114 HELIPAD 00/1050150 ROADS	1,549,446.00	0.00	0.00	
	242,132,285.54			242,132,285.54
00/1050160 ASSET NT OWNED ROADS 00/1050180 INFRA DEV CONT POWER	6,209.00			
	24,986,026.08	0.00	0.00	24,986,026.0
	-3,203,134.33	0.00	0.00	-3,203,134.3
00/1060011 ACC DEP NON-RES.BLD. 00/1060012 ACC DEP TEMP SHEDS	-7,239,717.99 -6,668,905.00	0.00		-7,239,717.9
00/1060020 ACC DEP ROD/BRG/TUNL	-0,066,905.00		0.00	-6,668,905.00
00/1060030 ACC DEP PRJ.CIVILWRK	-778,714,963.00	the second se	and the second se	-392.00
00/1060031 ACC DEP PRJ. E&M WRK		the second	and the second s	
00/1060041 ACC DEP OFFICE MACHN	-331,730,310.66	the second se		
00/1060060 ACC DEP ELECT. ELECTR	-602,772.48	and the second s	Concession of the local division of the loca	the second se
	-2,530,964.90		The second	and the second se
00/1060070 ACC DEP FURNITURE 00/1060080 ACC DEP COMPUTERS	-3,482,371.64			and the second sec
the local decision of	-3,918,384.06	and the second se	the second se	and the second sec
the second se	-310,246.00			
0/1060100 ACC DEP VEHICLES	-1,351,836.90		the second se	
0/1060114 ACC DEP HELIPAD	-349,709.00	and the second sec		
00/1060114 ACC DEP HELIPAD	-139,728.00		the second se	
0/1060150 ACC DEP ROADS	-48,888,932.29	the second se		and the second sec
00/1060160 ACC DEP NT OWN ROADS	-6,209.00	Commentation and the second seco	the second se	
0/1060180 ACC DEP INFRA CONST	-3,064,303.00	and the second se		The second se
0/1070000 CWIP RES.BUILDING	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0
0/1070001 CWIP - NON-RES BUILD	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0
0/1070010 CWIP - ROD/BRDG/TNL	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0
0/1070020 CWIP - PRJ.CIVIL WRK	26,523,049.00	0.00	0.00	26,523,049.0
0/1070020 CWIP - PRJ.CIVIL WRK	1,224,097,907.54	And the second s	Contraction of the local division of the loc	The second
0/1070030 CWIP - PRJ. E&M WORK	28,518,410.00	and the second se	and the second sec	and the second se
0/1070050 CWIP - INVEST & SRVY	0.00	and the second s	and the second se	
0/1070050 CWIP - INVEST & SRVY	635,051.00	A CONTRACTOR OF	the second s	
0/1070051 CWIP - R& R EXPENSES	0.00			
0/1070051 CWIP - R& R EXPENSES	87,744.00	A REAL PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF TAXABLE	and the second se	the second s
0/1070052 CWIP ENVRMINT EXP.	0.00	the second se		
0/1070053 CWIP - ECOLOGY EXP.	0.00	A DESCRIPTION OF THE OWNER OWNER OF THE OWNER OWNER OF THE OWNER OWNER OF THE OWNER OWNE		the second
LUCIULAR CONTRACT				
0/1070080 AUC -INCIDENTAL EXP.	0.00	and the second design of the s		

		Carl of the set of	Debit during	Credit during	
		Balance Carryforward	-CONTROL - 100000000000000000000000000000000000	the year	Accumulated balance
	Conduct Automation	As on 01.04.2019	uie year	the period	As on 31.10.2019
Account Number/	read of Account	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Thoman	IP CLEARING A/C	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Those is a second	- FNDTR.CRP.OFF.	-6,616,259,773.53	409,406,291.00	222,130,572.51	-6,428,984,055.04
	- FNDTR CRP.OFF.	-412,279,076.09	0.00	2,044,582.00	-414,323,658.09
170001 49444	- FUND TR.KSHNG.	0.00	0.00	a succession of the second sec	0.00
1000/1080001 IUT	- FUND TR.KSHNG.	0.00	720,471.00	the second se	
1000/1080002 IUT	- FUND TR.SHNTNG	-264,311.50	1,171.00		
1000/1080003 IUT	- FUND TR.SAINJ	-9,408.00	0.00		-9,408.00 -70,145.00
1000/ 100000	- FUND TR.SWRA-KD	-70,145.00	0.00		75,000.00
10001 1000000	- FUND TR.RENUKA	75,000.00	0.00		and the second s
10001 100000	- FUND TR.SNDNGR	71,196.00	0.00		And and a second s
20001	- FND TR.THN.PL.	5,420.00	65,132,136.00		and the second se
10001 2000	- FUND TR KASH II - FUND TR KASH II	0.00	0.00		
10001 #0000	- FUND TR BARAKH	0.00	0.00		
1000/ 1000000	-LOANTR.CORP.OFF.	-280,094,283.18	0.00		
Then an	-LOANTR.CORP.OFF.	395,027,250.93			395,027,250.93
	- Rev & Exp Corp	-15,643,998.76		0.00	-15,643,998.76
1000011000000	-Rev & Exp SNGR	-39,184,305.57			-39,184,305.57
and the second se	V. GOVT. DEPTT.	7,984,793.00	and the second sec	0.00	7,984,793.00
and the second se	V. SUPL/CONT/CONSL	0.00	671,460.00	71,460.00	
NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY AND PARTY AND PARTY AND PARTY AND PARTY.	V. SUPL/CONT/CONSL	61,217,146.00	35,750.00	5,777,695.00	
1000/1090006 FO	UR MONTH PAY ADV.	0.00	1,880,000.00	0.00	and the second se
1000/1090015 EM	IPLOYEE IMPREST	0.00	59,967.00	43,667.00	
1000/1090015 EM	IPLOYEE IMPREST	0.00	and the second se		0.00
the state of the second st	/ TTA ADVANCE	0.00			
1000/1100003 RE	COVBL FROM STAFF	0.00	and the second se		
1000/1100003 RE	COVBL. FROM STAFF	300.00		a second and a second provide second	
1000/1100004 RE	CV. CLAIMS DAMAGE	0.00	the second s		The second s
Contraction and an and a second second second	EPAID EXPENSES	1,625,540.00	the second se		Contraction of the local division of the loc
Installe Contribution in the Installe Contribution	S RECOVERABLE	0.00	A DESCRIPTION OF TAXABLE PARTY OF TAXABLE PARTY.	A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR O	the second se
And in the Party of the Party o	CV. FROM HPSEB	94,260.00			the second se
and a strength or the road of the lot of the strength of the s	CURITY/EMD DEPOSIT	3,622,811.00		the second se	Contraction of the local division of the loc
	POSITS WITH COURTS	450,164,126.00			Contraction of the local division of the loc
The local distance in	PUT SRV.TAX 12.36%	0.0			
statistical and the second s	PUT ST 12% ABT 75%	0.0	the second se	the second se	the second se
Statistic difference in the second state of th	PUT ST EC 2% ABT75	0.0	the second se	the second se	The second
Statistics in the state of the	PT ECES ST1 ABT 75 PUT ST 12% ABT40%	0.0	the second se		
and a second sec	PT ST EC 2% ABT40	0.0	the second s		
And the owner of the local division of the l	PT ST SHE 1%ABT40%	0.0			
proved that the print of the local data and the second sec	PUT ST12 % AB150%	0.0			the second
Provide statements and a statement of the statement of th	PT ST EC 2% ABT50%	0.0			
and the second design of the second distance of the second s	PT ST SHE 1%ABT50%	0.0			
And and a support of the local day in the local day in the local day is a support of the local d	CV. FROM OTHERS	0.0		Contraction of the local division of the loc	0.00
Print Sector ( 101 and 101	COV.FROM CONT/SUPP	187,626,238.6			
	COV.FROM CONT/SUPP	1,043,176.0	the second s	0 3,443,903.0	462,500.00
Construction of the second sec	COVERABL FROM GOVT	2,384,099.0	the second s	0 2,209,302.0	4,697,497.00
	AD HELD AS SD	341,000.0		0 682,000.0	0.00
CONTRACTOR OF A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIP	VENTORIES	3,441,941.0	THE REPORT OF A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIP	7 389,178.1	3,765,736.40
1000/1110005 IN	VENTORIES DIFFEREN	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00
1000/1110500 08	&M Tools & Tackles	0.0	0 472,001.7	7 0.3	472,001.00
1000/1110501 08	M Stores & Spares	3,328,626.8	4 1,205,495.7	2 644,236.5	3,889,886.02
	BTOR (SALE ENERGY)	171,769,900.0	0 132,136,405.0	398,722,015.0	-94,815,710.00
Personal Property and in case of the local division of the local d	LADB-KSH-LC-TR3	-1,786,296,180.0	0 0.0	0.0	-1,786,296,180.00
the second se	LADB-KSH-DP-TR3	-71,625,867.0	0.0	0.0	the second se
the second	LADB-KSH-DP-TR3	-1,218,640,667.9		0.0	-1,218,640,667.93
Contraction of the owner owner of the owner	W.ST.GOVT KSHN.TR1	-3,470,744,665.0	0 0.0	the second s	the second se
and the second second state of the second stat	LARY PYABLE STAFF	0.0	0 16,888,492	the second se	
	BILL PYBLE STAFF	-8,227 (	8,222.0		the second se
1000/2050165 M	ED BILL PYBL STAFF	-8,701.0	0 15,257.0		the second se

		Debit during	Credit during	
	Balance Carryforward	the year	the year	Accumulated balance
Account Number/Head of Account	As on 01.04.2019		12	As on 31.10.2019
1000/2050166 NEWSPAPER PYBL STAFF	-47,500.00	50,551.00	3,051.00	0.00
1000/2050167 TELEPH BL PYBL STAFF	-23,296.00	23,296.00	0.00	0.00
1000/2050168 OTHER PAYABLE STAFF	0.00	6,502.00	6,502.00	0.00
1000/2050175 HPPCL EE/ER EPF PYBL	-14,588.32	80,793.99	92,544.30	-26,338.63
1000/2050180 BANK LOAN DED. PYBL	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
1000/2050181 BENOVALENT FUND PYBL	-1,250.00	8,450.00	8,450.00	-1,250.00
1000/2050182 CONVEY DEDUCTINS PYBL	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
1000/2050183 GIS DEDUCTIONS PYBL	-345.00	2,235.00	2,325.00	-435.00
1000/2050184 GPF DEDUCTIONS PYBL	-687,139.00	4,061,147.00	3,943,008.00	-569,000.00
1000/2050185 HBA DEDUCTIONS PYBL	-2,450.00	17,150.00	17,150.00	-2,450.00
1000/2050186 HRA DEDUCTIONS PYBL	0.00	0.00	6,286.00	-6,286.00
1000/2050187 INTT.STAFF LOAN PYBL	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
1000/2050188 OTHER STAFF DED.PY8L	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
1000/2050189 LEASE RENT DED. PYBL	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
1000/2050190 WARM CLOTH ADV. PYBL	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
1000/2050192 GPF ADV. DED. PYBL	-14,000 00	88,000.00	and the second se	-4,000.00
1000/2050195 POSTAL LIFE INS PYBL	-14,524.00	101,668.00	A NAME OF A DESCRIPTION	-14,524.00
1000/2050197 SOCIETY&CLB DED.PYBL	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
1000/2050198 INTT. WARM CLTH ADV	0.00	the second se		0.00
1000/2050199 INTT. VEHICLE ADV.	0.00		the second se	0.00
1000/2050200 CPS DEDUCT. PAYABLE	0.00	and the second s	0.00	0.00
1000/2050201 INTEREST ON HBA PYBL	-1,330.00	the second	and the second s	-1,330.00
1000/2050204 LIC DEDUCT. PAYABLE	-29,667.00	207,669.00		-29,667.00
1000/2050205 EMPLOYEES EPI PYBL	-251,395.00	and the second state of th	A REAL PROPERTY OF A REAL PROPER	-479,213.00
1000/2050206 EMPLOYER EPF PYBL	-251,395.00	and the second sec		-479,213.00
1000/2050207 EMPLOYEES CPS PYBL	+10,597.00	Contraction of the second state of the second	71,597.00	-14,389.00
1000/2050208 EMPLOYER CPS PYBL	+10,597.00	I HARRING THE REAL PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE REAL PROPERTY ADDRES		-18,188.00
1000/2050209 EMPLOYEES VPF PYBL	the second	the second		The second s
	-42,000.00	a second s	and the second sec	-128,000.00
and the second	the second data and the second	a subscription of the second s	a loss of the loss	and the second sec
	0.00	A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL		0.00
The second se	-15,818.00	And the second s	A Reasonable and the second se	-28,029.00
1000/2050219 Settlement of Advanc	0.00			0.00
1000/2050300 CONTRACTORS PAYABLE	-37,239,744.07	and the second second second second	Construction of the Approximation of the Approximat	-5,304,645.07
1000/2050300 CONTRACTORS PAYABLE	-5,245,657.00			-1,444,766.00
1000/2050301 SUPPLIERS PAYABLE 1000/2050302 CONSULTANTS PAYABLE	-101,985 00	and the second s		and the second sec
1000/2050302 CONSULTANTS PATABLE	0.00	Contraction of the second s		0.00
and the second se	the second	the second contract of the second sec		and the second
1000/2050304 GOVT DUES PAYABLE 1000/2050304 GOVT DUES PAYABLE	-2,879,654.00	I contract of the second se	and the second s	-5,075,287.00
1000/2050305 OTHERS PAYABLE	0.00	and the second se		0.00
and the second se	and the second se	and the second	<ul> <li>In the second sec</li></ul>	and the second sec
	-387,138.00	the second s		and the second
	-5,000.00	a second and the second s		A REAL PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF
	-2,864,180.00			and the second se
	-197,310.00	and the second se		-507,753.00
	-84,126,847.00	Contraction of the second s		and the second se
	-19,496,739.00		And and a state of the local division of the	the second
	-1,135,026.00		and the second s	
	-367,935.00		0.00	Contraction of the local division of the loc
and the second sec	-1,105.00		0.00	Contraction of the local division of the loc
a second s	-30,033 00		66,237.00	and the second sec
the second se	0.00			
and the second	0.00	And the second sec	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1000/2050402 RENT PAYABLE	-524,681 00	Provide the second seco	436,481.00	
1000/2050405 GR/IR ACCOUNT	0.00	The local distance of	5,264,614.16	0.00
1000/2050405 GR/IR ACCOUNT	0.00	the second	0.00	0,00
1000/2050406 SR/IR ACCOUNT	0.00	and the second design of the second star in the second star in the	32,938,511.94	-2,749,817.10
1000/2050406 SR/IR ACCOUNT	0.00	110,765,713/2	111,765,869,54	
1000/2050407 FREIGHT CLRNG A/C	0.00	D CE	0,00	
1000/2050420 Unclaimed EMD	-112,694 30	the second se	0.00	112,694.00
1000/2050421 FDR (EMD) PAYABLE	-341,000 00	the second se	341,000.00	0.00
1000/2050422 Internal Aud Lee Phil	-53,100 )			0.00

1 al interest

	Put	Debit during	Credit during	and the second	
Account Number/Head of Account	Balance Carryforward	the year	the year	Accumulated balance	
1000/2050700 PROV GRATUITY	As on 01.04.2019			As on 31.10.2019	
	-7,912,034.00		and the second sec	and the second s	
	-23,934,033.00	the second se		-23,934,033.00	
1000/2050710 PROV -OUTS.MANPOWER	-567,413.00			0.00	
1000/2050711 PROVISION FOR EXP	-253,081,891.42		2,912,052.00	-240,876,926.00	
1000/2050711 PROVISION FOR EXP	-61,051.00		12,075,810.00	-50,000.00	
1000/2050712 PROV.PENSION CONTR.	-18,246,498.00		0.00	-18,246,498.00	
1000/2050900 RETAINED EARNING A/C	1,918,825,314.89	0.00	0.00	1,918,825,314.89	
1000/2050900 RETAINED EARNING A/C	23,990.81	0.00	0.00	23,990.81	
1000/2050999 ZERO BAL CLRNG. A/C	733,331,200.43	19,010,001.17	22,382,381.51	729,958,820.09	
1000/2050999 ZERO BAL. CLRNG. A/C	-1,022,900,480.15	121,680.00	18,500,720.17	-1,041,279,520.32	
1000/2060001 TDS - CONT. u/s 194C	-464,927.00	815,918.00	357,428.00	-6,437.00	
1000/2060001 TDS - CONT. u/s 194C	-8,330.00	1,416,523.00	1,432,138.00	-23,945.00	
1000/2060003 TDS - RENT u/s 1941	-31,303.00	92,917.00	141,641.00	-80,027.00	
1000/2060003 TDS - RENT u/s 1941	-7,490.00	25,236.00	23,079.00	-5,333.00	
1000/2060004 TDS - PROF TECH.194J	-190.00	78,772.00	78,582.00	0.00	
1000/2060004 TDS - PROF. TECH. 194J	0.00	and the second	and the second s	-2,000.00	
1000/2060006 TDS - SALARY u/s 192	0.00	and the second sec	2,781,433.00	-867,924.00	
1000/2060007 TDS On IGST- 2%	-325,464.00	a strength of the strength of	286,430.00	0.00	
1000/2060007 TDS On IGST- 2%	0.00		0.00	0.00	
1000/2060008 TDS On CGST- 1%	-15,269.00		107,732.00	-11,592.00	
1000/2060008 TDS On CGST- 1%	-8,330.00	and the second second second in the second sec	741,224.00	-22,341.00	
1000/2060009 TDS On SGST 1%	-15,265.00	and the second sec	107,732.00	-11,592.00	
1000/2060009 TDS On 5GST- 1%	-8,330.00	the second se	741,224.00	-22,341.00	
1000/2060101 TCS PAYABLE	0.000	Contraction of the Westman and the State of	0.00	0.00	
1000/2060102 SERVICE TAX PAYABLE	0.00		0.00	0.00	
Section of the local distance of the section of the	0.00	and the second se	0.00	0.00	
Provide a lot of the second seco	-4,490.00	and the second s	27,471.00	0.00	
and the second	-4,490.00	and the second se	743,763.00	-22,632.00	
1000/2060105 LABOUR CESS PAYABLE	-0,550.00	and the second se	0.00	0.00	
1000/2060106 ENTRY TAX PAYABLE	and the second se	and the second design of the s	0.00	0.00	
1000/2060107 ROYALTY PAYABLE	-17,960.00		241,712.00	-44,684.00	
1000/2060107 ROYALTY PAYABLE	0.00		0.00	-44,684.00	
1000/2060112 WCT PAYABLE	0.0	and the second se		the second se	
1000/2060113 GST PAYABLE	-1,236.00	and the second se	1,152.00	-1,416.00	
1000/2060113 GST PAYABLE	0.0	a second a s	7,896.00	-1,080.00	
1000/2060114 INPUT ST PYBL ABT75%	0.00		A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL	the second se	
1000/2060115 INPT ST EC PY8L AB75	X1.0		0.00	0.00	
1000/2060116 INPT ST SHE PBL AB75	0.0	the second se	0.00	0.00	
1000/2060117 INPT ST PBL ABT 40%	20 DX		0.00	0.00	
1000/2060118 INPT ST EC PBL ABT40	0.00	the statement of strength		0.00	
1000/2060119 INPT ST SHE PBL AB40	0.0		0.00	0.00	
1000/2060123 W.C.S 40% PAYABLE	0.00		0.00	0.00	
1000/2060124 W.C.S.EC 40% PBYL	0.0	and the second se	0.00	the second se	
1000/2060125 W C 5 SHEC 40% PBYL	0.0		The second secon	0.00	
1000/2060136 HIRED V ST 14% ABT40	0.0		0.00	the second s	
1000/2050137 WCS ST 14% AB1 40%	0.0		0.00	0.00	
1000/2060140 OUTSOURCE ST 14% 100	0.0		The second se	of the local division of the local divisiono	
1000/2060141 SWACHH BHARAT CESS	0.0	the second	and the second s	0.00	
1000/2060142 KRISHI KALYAN CESS	0.0	the second s		0.00	
1000/2060150 CGST 2.5% RCM Payabl	0.0		The second	The second se	
1000/2060150 CGST 2.5% RCM Payabi	0.0	the second se		And and a second s	
1000/2060151 HPGST 2.5% RCM Payab 1000/2060151 HPGST 2.5% RCM Payab	0.0	to be a second and the	0.00	0.00	
	and the second s	the second se	0.00	0.00	
1000/2060154 CGST 9% RCM Payable 1000/2060154 CGST 9% RCM Payable	-7,920.0			-7,920.00	
1000/2060155 HPGST 9% RCM Payable	-7,920.0		00.0	0.00	
1000/2060155 HPGS1 9% RCM Payable	1,220.0		0.00	The second se	
1000/2000155 HPGS19% ICM Payable	-523,800 0	and the second sec	0.00	0.00	
1000/2060160 IGST 18% RCM Payable	-47,520.0	and the second se		-523,800.00	
1000/3000002 SALE OF ENERGY	-47,520.0	and the second se	00.0	-47,520.00	
1000/3000002 SALE OF EMERCIA 1000/3000010 Sale of Power (DSM)	00	the second se	175,572,976 79	-175,572,976.79	
		ALL THE FLORE AND A COLUMN	4,552,540.00	43,178,310.00	

It in.

of

5 N

dur

Affe

ject.

	Balance Carryforward	Debit during	Credit during the year	Accumulated balance
Account Number/Head of Account	As on 01.04.2019	the year		As on 31.10.2019
1000/3000115 SALE TENDER FORMS	0.00	0.00	67,300.00	-67,300.00
1000/3000124 BANK INTEREST	0.00	0.00	671,777.00	-671,777.00
1000/3000127 MISC. RECEIPTS	0.00	0.00	924.00	-924.00
1000/4000003 SALARY STAFF	0.00	33,276,676.57	150,299.42	33,126,377.15
1000/4000083 TRAVELALLOW STAFF	0.00	29,882.00	0.00	and the second sec
1000/4000093 MEDICAL EXP. REIMBUR	0.00	92,937.00		92,937.00
1000/4000104 TRAING/SEMINAR STAFF	0.00	3,120.00	and the second se	1,560.00
1000/4000144 ER. PF CONT STAFF	0.00	1,662,728.00	0.00	1,662,728.00
1000/4000146 ER. CPF CONT STAFF	· 0.00	98,116.00	0.00	Contraction of the Contraction o
1000/4000153 PF ADMIN CHARGE STAF	0.00	Contraction of the local division of the loc	and the second sec	and the second sec
1000/4000163 PF EDLI CONTRIL STAF	0.00		and the second division of the second divisio	and the second sec
1000/4000202 UNIFORM & LIVERIES	0.00	and the second se		and the second se
1000/4000202 UNIFORM & LIVERIES	0.00	and the second sec	0.00	
1000/4000202 ONFORM & INTERES		Contraction of the local division of the loc	18.68	and the second s
	0.00	and the second se		
	0.00		0.00	
1000/4000305 R&M-OFFICE EQUIPMENT	0.00		and the second s	and the second s
1000/4000309 AMC EXPENDITURES	0.00	the second s		0.00
1000/4000310 PVT JOURNY DEDUCTION	0.00	and the second se	and the second	2,362,892.00
1000/4000313 HIRED VEHICLE EXP	0.00		742,952.00	
1000/4000313 HIRED VEHICLE EXP	0.00	and the second distribution of the line where the second distribution of the second		
1000/4000314 LEASE RENT OTHER	0.00	and the second se		
1000/4000314 LEASE RENT - OTHER	0.0	the state of the s	1 Contraction of the local division of the l	
1000/4000319 ELEC & WATER EXPENSE	0.0		0.00	
1000/4000320 PRINTING & STATIONARY	0.00	the second se	896.20	
1000/4000321 BOOKS PERIOD.N.PAPER	0.0	the second se		
1000/4000322 FREIGHT LABOUR CHG.	0.0	400.00	0.00	
1000/4000373 ATCH.VEH.DED.STAFF	0.0	and the second se	6,933.00	
1000/4000410 COMM (TEL & INT) OFF	0.0			
1000/4000421 OFFICE EXPENSES	0.0	the second s		
1000/4000423 LEGAL CHARGES	0.0	the second s		A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL
1000/4000424 PROFESSIONAL CHARGES	0.0			
1000/4000426 POSTAGE & TELEGRM EXP	0.0	and the second se		and the second se
1000/4000430 COMM. (TELSINT) STAF	0.0			
1000/4000431 HOSPITALITY EXPENSE	0,0	the second se		
1000/4000433 PUBLICITY & ADVT EXP	0.0		0.00	and the second sec
1000/4000437 WINTER HEATING EXP	0,0			and the second sec
1000/4000443 CONSUMABLE ITEMS	0.0		In the second seco	and the second se
1000/4000448 BANK CHARGES	0.0	Local division in the second se		
1000/4000605 PROJ CONSUMPTION A/C	0.0	the second s		
1000/4000609 INV.SMALL PRICE DIFF	0.0		and the second sec	and the second s
1000/4000610 SERVICE CONSUMPTION	0.0			and the second se
1000/4000610 SERVICE CONSUMPTION	0.0	NAME AND ADDRESS OF TAXABLE PARTY.		
1000/4000701 O&M Tools & Tackels	0.0			
1000/4000702 O&M Plant Consumable	.0,0	A series and a second se		
1000/4000704 O&M DG sets	0.0			
1000/4000705 O&M Plant & Machiner	0.0			and the second se
1000/4000707 O&M Testing/Calibera	0.0			the second s
1000/4000711 O&M Annual Maint.	Q.3	and a second		
1000/4000717 O&M Cont & Automatin	0.1	A REAL PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF TAXABLE PARTY.		the second s
1000/4000720 O&M Elec, Water Tel	0./	A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL	40	and the second sec
1000/4000800 R&R Schemes / Plan	0.1			the second
1000/4000800 R&R Schemes / Plan	0.1		Concernance of the local division of the loc	
1000/4000901 NREDC/SLDC Ch. & Fee	0.			
1000/4000905 Power Sell (Tran.Ch)	0.	the second s		
1000/4000906 Power Sel (OP AC Ch)	C	the second se	600,000	and the second se
1000/4000907 Power Set (Trde.Mar)	6	The second se	0.0	The second
1000/4001201 D&M R&R Elect to PAF	the second se	00 04	40.0	The second
1000/4001250 O&M Envmt 5 1 cology	The second se	200,000/	0.0	200,000.00

Manager (F&A), Integrated Kashang HFP HPPCL, Reckong Peo

61

no

25 N

id du

Ct Aff P vela

oject.



## H.P.STATE POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

"Opp.Indira Market, Rampur, Distt. Shimla (HP)" Phone and Fax No. 01782-233887

NO.HPPCB/RMP/Kashang HEP / 529

'Dated/ 26/11/19

То

The General Manager, Integrated Kashang HEP (HPPCL), Reckong Peo, Tehsil Kalpa, Distt. Kinnaur H.P.

Subject:

2. A

R

- F&A

EMP Reports under Air Act, 1981.

Please find enclosed herewith analysis report No. 2603 to 2609 (Total 7 Reports) dated 23.10.2019 of the Air Samples as per provisions of Air Act, 1981. This is submitted for information and further necessary action.

1. 5/14/18

Encl: As above.

Yours faithfully,

Jr. Environmental Engineer

 Report No
 2609

 Dated
 23.10.2019

I hereby certify that I, <u>Sanjeev Sharma</u> State Board Analyst duly appointed under sub-section (2) of section 29 of the Air (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1981 received on the <u>27<sup>th</sup> day of Sep. 2019</u> from <u>Sh. Lokesh</u> <u>Kumar, Jr. Environmental Engineer, Regional Office, HP State Pollution Control Board, Rampur</u> a sample of <u>RSPM collected on 14.09.19 at Worker colony intake of M/s Kashang HEP</u> for analysis. The sample was in a condition fit for analysis reported below:

I further certify that I have analysed the aforementioned sample on 27.09.19 to 19.10.19 and declare the result of analysis is to be as follows :-

S.no	Parameter	Result	Test Method
1.	Respirable Particulate Matter (RSPM)		Guidelines for the Measurement of Ambient Air Pollutants, Volume-I by CPCB, New Delhi

The condition of the seals, fastening and container on receipt was as follows:-

Filter paper was enclosed in sealed envelope with the impression of PCB. The envelope contained details of sample like source of sample, time and date of collection, name and designation of person who had collected the sample.

Signed this 24th day of Oct. 2019

Remark:

Results within limit as prescribed for ambient air quality under NAAQS.

Signature (State Board Analyst) Regional Laboratory, Below BCS, Phase-III, Shimla

Address : To

Report No 2608 Dated I hereby certify that I, Sanjeev Sharma State Board Analyst duly appointed under sub-section (2) of section 29 of 23.10.2019 the Air (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1981 received on the 27<sup>th</sup> day of Sep. 2019 from Sh. Lokesh Kumar, Jr. Environmental Engineer, Regional Office, HP State Pollution Control Board, Rampur a sample of RSPM collected on 13.09.19 Near Guest House of M/s Kashang HEP for analysis. The sample was in a I further certify that I have analysed the aforementioned sample on 27.09.19 to 19.10.19 and declare the result of analysis is to be as follows :-S.no Parameter 1. Respirable Particulate Matter (RSPM) Result 33.30 µg/m3 Test Method Guidelines for the Measurement of Ambient Air Pollutants, Volume-I by CPCB, New Delhi The condition of the seals, fastening and container on receipt was as follows:-Filter paper was enclosed in sealed envelope with the impression of PCB. The envelope contained details of sample like source of sample, time and date of collection, name and designation of person who had collected the sample. Signed this 24th day of Oct. 2019 Remark: Results within limit as prescribed for ambient air quality under NAAQS. Regional Laboratory, Below BCS, Phase-III, Shimla Address : To The General Manager, Kashang HEP (HPPCL), Rekong Peo, Distt. Kinnaur, H.P.

 Report No
 2607

 Dated
 23.10.2019

I hereby certify that I, <u>Sanjeev Sharma</u> State Board Analyst duly appointed under sub-section (2) of section 29 of the Air (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1981 received on the <u>27<sup>th</sup> day of Sep. 2019</u> from <u>Sh. Lokesh</u> <u>Kumar, Jr. Environmental Engineer, Regional Office, HP State Pollution Control Board, Rampur</u> a sample of <u>RSPM collected on 12.09.19 Near Intake site of M/s Kashang HEP</u> for analysis. The sample was in a condition fit for analysis reported below:

I further certify that I have analysed the aforementioned sample on  $\underline{27.09.19 \text{ to } 19.10.19}$  and declare the result of analysis is to be as follows :-

S.no	Parameter	Result	Test Method
1.	Respirable Particulate Matter (RSPM)	10	Guidelines for the Measurement of Ambient Air Pollutants, Volume-I by CPCB, New Delh

The condition of the seals, fastening and container on receipt was as follows:-

Filter paper was enclosed in scaled envelope with the impression of PCB. The envelope contained details of sample like source of sample, time and date of collection, name and designation of person who had collected the sample.

Signed this 24th day of Oct. 2019

Remark:

Results within limit as prescribed for ambient air quality under NAAQS.

(State Board nalyst) Regional Laboratory, Below BCS, Phase-IIA, Shimla

Address : To

 Report No
 2606

 Dated
 23.10.2019

 I hereby certify that I, Sanjeev Sharma State Board Analyst duly appointed under sub-section (2) of section 29 of the Air (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1981 received on the 27<sup>th</sup> day of Sep. 2019 from Sh. Lokesh Kumar, Jr. Environmental Engineer, Regional Office, HP State Pollution Control Board, Rampur a sample of RSPM collected on 11.09.19 Office site Intake of M/s Kashang HEP for analysis. The sample was in a condition fit for analysis reported below:

 I further certify that I have analysed the aforementioned sample on 27.09.19 to 19.10.19

 and declare the result of analysis is to be as follows : 

S.no	Parameter	Result	Terry Martin
1.	Respirable Particulate Matter (RSPM)		Test Method
		- Points	Guidelines for the Measurement of Ambient Air Pollutants, Volume-I by CPCB, New Delhi

The condition of the seals, fastening and container on receipt was as follows:-

Filter paper was enclosed in sealed envelope with the impression of PCB. The envelope contained details of sample like source of sample, time and date of collection, name and designation of person who had collected the sample.

Signed this 24th day of Oct. 2019

13

Remark:

Results within limit as prescribed for ambient air quality under NAAQS.

(State Board Analyst) Regional Laboratory, Below BCS, Phase-111, Shimla

Address : To

 Report No
 2605

 Dated
 23.10.2019

 I hereby certify that I, Sanjeev Sharma State Board Analyst duly appointed under sub-section (2) of section 29 of the Air (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1981 received on the 27<sup>th</sup> day of Sep. 2019 from Sh. Lokesh Kumar, Jr. Environmental Engineer, Regional Office, HP State Pollution Control Board, Rampur a sample of RSPM collected on 10.09.19 Near power house of M/s Kashang HEP for analysis. The sample was in a condition fit for analysis reported below:

 I further certify that I have analysed the aforementioned sample on 27.09.19 to 19.10.19

 and declare the result of analysis is to be as follows : 

S.no	Parameter	Result	Test Method
1.	Respirable Particulate Matter (RSPM)		Guidelines for the Measurement of Ambient
			Air Pollutants, Volume-I by CPCB. New Dell

The condition of the seals, fastening and container on receipt was as follows:-

Filter paper was enclosed in sealed envelope with the impression of PCB. The envelope contained details of sample like source of sample, time and date of collection, name and designation of person who had collected the sample.

Signed this 24th day of Oct. 2019

Remark:

Results within limit as prescribed for ambient air quality under NAAQS.

(State Board Analyst) Regional Laboratory, Below BCS, Phase-III, Shimla

Address : To

 Report No
 2604

 Dated
 23.10.2019

I hereby certify that I, <u>Sanjeev Sharma</u> State Board Analyst duly appointed under sub-section (2) of section 29 of the Air (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1981 received on the <u>27<sup>th</sup> day of Sep. 2019</u> from <u>Sh. Lokesh</u> **Kumar, Jr. Environmental Engineer, Regional Office, HP State Pollution Control Board, Rampur** a sample of <u>RSPM collected on 09.09.19 at Office site RekongPeo of M/s Kashang HEP</u> for analysis. The sample was in a condition fit for analysis reported below:

I further certify that I have analysed the aforementioned sample on  $\underline{27.09.19 \text{ to } 19.10.19}$  and declare the result of analysis is to be as follows :-

S.no	Parameter	Result	Test Method
1.	Respirable Particulate Matter (RSPM)	1	Guidelines for the Measurement of Ambient Air Pollutants, Volume-I by CPCB, New Delhi

The condition of the seals, fastening and container on receipt was as follows:-

Filter paper was enclosed in sealed envelope with the impression of PCB. The envelope contained details of sample like source of sample, time and date of collection, name and designation of person who had collected the sample.

Signed this 24th day of Oct. 2019

Remark:

Result is above limit as prescribed for ambient air quality under NAAQS.

(State Board Analyst) Regional Laboratory, Below BCS, Phase-III, Shimla

Address : To

 Report No
 2603

 Dated
 23.10.2019

I hereby certify that I, <u>Sanjeev Sharma</u> State Board Analyst duly appointed under sub-section (2) of section 29 of the Air (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1981 received on the 27<sup>th</sup> day of Sep. 2019 from <u>Sh. Lokesh</u> of <u>RSPM collected on 08.09.19 at Pangi Village of M/s Kashang HEP</u> for analysis. The sample was in a condition fit for analysis reported below:

I further certify that I have analysed the aforementioned sample on 27.09.19 to 19.10.19 and declare the result of analysis is to be as follows :-

S.no	Parameter	Dogult	
1.	Respirable Particulate Matter (RSPM)	Result	Test Method
	(KSPM)	a stor µg/ms	Guidelines for the Measurement of Ambient Air Pollutants, Volume-I by CPCB, New Delhi

The condition of the seals, fastening and container on receipt was as follows:-

Filter paper was enclosed in sealed envelope with the impression of PCB. The envelope contained details of sample like source of sample, time and date of collection, name and designation of person who had collected the sample.

Signed this 24th day of Oct. 2019

Remark:

Result is above limit as prescribed for ambient air quality under NAAQS.

(State Board A nalyst) Regional Laboratory, Below BCS, Phase/III/Shimla

Address : To

Report No 2477 Dated 19.08.2019

I hereby certify that I, <u>Sanjeev Sharma</u> State Board Analyst duly appointed under sub-section (2) of section 29 of the Air (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1981 received on the <u>12<sup>th</sup> day of July 2019</u> from <u>Er. Sunil</u> <u>Sharma, Jr. Environmental Engineer, Regional Office, HP State Pollution Control Board, Rampur</u> a sample of <u>RSPM collected on 06.07.19 Near Power House site of M/s Kashang HEP</u> for analysis. The sample was in a condition fit for analysis reported below:

I further certify that I have analysed the aforementioned sample on  $\underline{12.07.19 \text{ to } 29.07.19}$  and declare the result of analysis is to be as follows :-

S.no	Parameter	Result	Test Method
1.	Respirable Particulate Matter (RSPM)	67.50 μg/m3	Guidelines for the Measurement of Ambient Air Pollutants, Volume-I by CPCB, New Delhi

The condition of the seals, fastening and container on receipt was as follows:-

Filter paper was enclosed in sealed envelope with the impression of PCB. The envelope contained details of sample like source of sample, time and date of collection, name and designation of person who had collected the sample.

Signed this 19th day of Aug. 2019

Remark:

Result is within limit as prescribed for ambient air quality under NAAQS.

(State Board Analyst) Regional Laboratory, Below BCS, Phase-III, Shimla

Address : To

 Report No
 2476

 Dated
 19.08.2019

Z

I hereby certify that I, <u>Sanjeev Sharma</u> State Board Analyst duly appointed under sub-section (2) of section 29 of the Air (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1981 received on the <u>12<sup>th</sup> day of July 2019</u> from <u>Er. Sunil</u> <u>Sharma, Jr. Environmental Engineer, Regional Office, HP State Pollution Control Board, Rampur</u> a sample of <u>RSPM collected on 06.07.19 At Office Site Rekong Peo of M/s Kashang HEP</u> for analysis. The sample was in a condition fit for analysis reported below:

I further certify that I have analysed the aforementioned sample on  $\underline{12.07.19 \text{ to } 29.07.19}$  and declare the result of analysis is to be as follows :-

S.no	Parameter	Result	Test Method
1.	Respirable Particulate Matter (RSPM)	49.62 μg/m3	Guidelines for the Measurement of Ambient Air Pollutants, Volume-I by CPCB, New Delhi

The condition of the seals, fastening and container on receipt was as follows:-

Filter paper was enclosed in sealed envelope with the impression of PCB. The envelope contained details of sample like source of sample, time and date of collection, name and designation of person who had collected the sample.

Signed this 19th day of Aug. 2019

Remark:

Result is within limit as prescribed for ambient air quality under NAAQS.

(State Board Analyst) Regional Laboratory, Below BCS, Phase-III, Shimla

Address : To

Report No	2475
Dated	19.08.2019

I hereby certify that I, <u>Sanjeev Sharma</u> State Board Analyst duly appointed under sub-section (2) of section 29 of the Air (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1981 received on the <u>12<sup>th</sup> day of July 2019</u> from <u>Er. Sunil</u> <u>Sharma, Jr. Environmental Engineer, Regional Office, HP State Pollution Control Board, Rampur</u> a sample of <u>RSPM collected on 05.07.19 At Worker Colony Intake of M/s Kashang HEP</u> for analysis. The sample was in a condition fit for analysis reported below:

I further certify that I have analysed the aforementioned sample on  $\underline{12.07.19 \text{ to } 29.07.19}$ and declare the result of analysis is to be as follows :-

S.no	Parameter	Result	Test Method
1.	Respirable Particulate Matter (RSPM)	742.05 µg/m3	Guidelines for the Measurement of Ambient
19268			Air Pollutants, Volume-I by CPCB, New Delhi

The condition of the seals, fastening and container on receipt was as follows:-

Filter paper was enclosed in sealed envelope with the impression of PCB. The envelope contained details of sample like source of sample, time and date of collection, name and designation of person who had collected the sample.

Signed this 19th day of Aug. 2019

Remark:

Result is above limit as prescribed for ambient air quality under NAAQS.

(State Board Analyst) Regional Laboratory, Below BCS, Phase-III/Shimla

Address : To

Report No	2474
Dated	19.08.2019

I hereby certify that I, <u>Sanjeev Sharma</u> State Board Analyst duly appointed under sub-section (2) of section 29 of the Air (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1981 received on the <u>12<sup>th</sup> day of July 2019</u> from <u>Er. Sunil</u> <u>Sharma, Jr. Environmental Engineer, Regional Office, HP State Pollution Control Board, Rampur</u> a sample of <u>RSPM collected on 05.07.19 Near guest house Intake of M/s Kashang HEP</u> for analysis. The sample was in a condition fit for analysis reported below:

I further certify that I have analysed the aforementioned sample on  $\underline{12.07.19 \text{ to } 29.07.19}$  and declare the result of analysis is to be as follows :-

S.no	Parameter	Result	Test Method
1.	Respirable Particulate Matter (RSPM)	29.10 μg/m3	Guidelines for the Measurement of Ambient Air Pollutants, Volume-I by CPCB, New Delhi

The condition of the seals, fastening and container on receipt was as follows:-

Filter paper was enclosed in sealed envelope with the impression of PCB. The envelope contained details of sample like source of sample, time and date of collection, name and designation of person who had collected the sample.

Signed this 19th day of Aug. 2019

Remark:

Result is within limit as prescribed for ambient air quality under NAAQS.

(State Board Analyst) Regional Laboratory, Below BCS, Phase-III, Shimla

Address : To

The General Manager, Kashang HÉP (HPPCL), Rekong Peo, Distt. Kinnaur, H.P.

2473
19.08.2019

I hereby certify that I, <u>Sanjeev Sharma</u> State Board Analyst duly appointed under sub-section (2) of section 29 of the Air (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1981 received on the <u>12<sup>th</sup> day of July 2019</u> from <u>Er. Sunil</u> <u>Sharma, Jr. Environmental Engineer, Regional Office, HP State Pollution Control Board, Rampur</u> a sample of <u>RSPM collected on 04.07.19 Near Intake site of M/s Kashang HEP</u> for analysis. The sample was in a condition fit for analysis reported below:

I further certify that I have analysed the aforementioned sample on  $\underline{12.07.19 \text{ to } 29.07.19}$ and declare the result of analysis is to be as follows :-

S.no	Parameter	Result	Test Method
1	Respirable Particulate Matter (RSPM)	24.59 µg/m3	Guidelines for the Measurement of Ambient
			Air Pollutants, Volume-I by CPCB, New Delhi

The condition of the seals, fastening and container on receipt was as follows:-

Filter paper was enclosed in sealed envelope with the impression of PCB. The envelope contained details of sample like source of sample, time and date of collection, name and designation of person who had collected the sample.

Signed this 19th day of Aug. 2019

**Remark:** 

Result is within limit as prescribed for ambient air quality under NAAQS.

Signati (State Board Analyst)

Regional Laboratory, Below BCS, Phase-III, Shimla

Address : To

The General Manager, Kashang HEP (HPPCL), Rekong Peo, Distt. Kinnaur, H.P.

Report No 2472

Dated 19.08.2019

I hereby certify that I, <u>Sanjeev Sharma</u> State Board Analyst duly appointed under sub-section (2) of section 29 of the Air (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1981 received on the <u>12<sup>th</sup> day of July 2019</u> from <u>Er. Sunil</u> <u>Sharma, Jr. Environmental Engineer, Regional Office, HP State Pollution Control Board, Rampur</u> a sample of <u>RSPM collected on 04.07.19 at Pangi Village of M/s Kashang HEP</u> for analysis. The sample was in a condition fit for analysis reported below:

I further certify that I have analysed the aforementioned sample on  $\underline{12.07.19}$  to  $\underline{29.07.19}$  and declare the result of analysis is to be as follows :-

S.no	Parameter	Result	Test Method
1.	Respirable Particulate Matter (RSPM)	64.92 μg/m3	Guidelines for the Measurement of Ambient Air Pollutants, Volume-I by CPCB, New Delhi

The condition of the seals, fastening and container on receipt was as follows:-

Filter paper was enclosed in sealed envelope with the impression of PCB. The envelope contained details of sample like source of sample, time and date of collection, name and designation of person who had collected the sample.

Signed this 19th day of Aug. 2019

Remark:

Result is within limit as prescribed for ambient air quality under NAAQS.

(State Board Analyst) Regional Laboratory, Below BCS, Phase-III, Shimla

Address : To

The General Manager, Kashang HEP (HPPCL), Rekong Peo, Distt. Kinnaur, H.P.

Report No 2471 Dated 19.08.2019

I hereby certify that I, Sanjeev Sharma State Board Analyst duly appointed under sub-section (2) of section 29 of the Air (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1981 received on the 12th day of July 2019 from Er. Sunil Sharma, Jr. Environmental Engineer, Regional Office, HP State Pollution Control Board, Rampur a sample of RSPM collected on 03.07.19 at Office Site Intake of M/s Kashang HEP for analysis. The sample was in a I further certify that I have analysed the aforementioned sample on 12.07.19 to 29.07.19

and declare the result of analysis is to be as follows :-

S.no	Parameter		
1.		Result	Test Mail
<u> </u>	Respirable Particulate Matter (RSPM)	Prese portion	Test Method Guidelines for the Measurement of Ambient
	a hotel of the last the second second		Air Pollutants, Volume-I by CPCB, New Delhi

The condition of the seals, fastening and container on receipt was as follows:-

Filter paper was enclosed in sealed envelope with the impression of PCB. The envelope contained details of sample like source of sample, time and date of collection, name and designation of person who had collected the sample.

Signed this 19th day of Aug. 2019

Remark:

10

Result is within limit as prescribed for ambient air quality under NAAQS.

(State Board Analyst) Regional Laboratory, Below BCS, Phase-III, Shimla

Address : To

The General Manager, Kashang HEP (HPPCL), Rekong Peo, Distt. Kinnaur, H.P.

		Noise Monitoring		
M	US Kashang	<u>A HEP</u> , <u>Dist</u> , <u>KImmer</u> ( <u>I-I-P</u> Equipment details : Envirotech SLM	)	
	0	Equipment details : Envirotech SLM	1-109	
Sr.No.	Date of Sampling	Sampling Location	Levels in Leq dB(A)	Permissible lim
1	7/07/2019	N/car DE La La		in dB (A)
2	7107/2019	Near prover House	69.7db	75 db
3	710712019	HRTC BUS I Stand RIPSO	- 79.2db	6526
4	7/07/2019	HCC office at RIPSO	58,326	6526
	7/07/2019	HPPCL office at PIPSO	58.126	65 db
	7/07/2019	At pangi village	49.326	5.5 26
	710712019	Near IPS	67.426	75 db
8.	7/07/2019	Near Worker Colony at Intake	60.7db	75 db
9	7/07/2019	At Intake Cruest House site	59.2Jb	75 26
10	7/07/2015	Den set wear cruest House Interior	1 - 2 11	75 db
11	7/07/2019	Never Batching plant site interior	58.2.16	7526
1.0	710712019	Near MAT	59.726	- 75 db
	107/2019	DG set wear BR Infalce	71.3db	75 db
14	1012011	NEar Stone Crusher site Intaler	73.7db	FCdb

Monitored by-

In

Jr.Environmental Engineer, H.P.State Pollution Control Board, Rampur, Distt.Shimla H.P.

. .

BA-15, Mangolpuri Industrial area, Phase - 2, Delhi 110034(India) Ph: +91 11 49491400, 27022900 E-mail: info@sigmatest.org web: www.sigmatest.org



ano 1/1

ESTING OF FOOD | WATER | CHEMICALS | TOYS | ELECTRONICS | BUILDING MATERIAL | ENVIRONMENT

**TEST & RESEARCH CENTRE** 

**TEST CERTIFICATE** 

(This certificate is not valid without a hologram)

Sample	: Drinking Water		Report N	Page o./ULR : TC5369190	
	Description :Said to be "Dri	nking Water"			
	er Ref : Nil		Report Iss	ue Date: 09/08/2019	
Custom	er Name & Address :		Job Code	STRC/12001212	
	ngineering Ltd		Sample Received On	05/08/2019	
	ed Kashang H.E.P. (Stage II	& III) Near	Date of Testing		12010
	ar Bhawan, Top Floor, Thak			05/08/2019-09/08	/2019
	x, Main Bazar Reckong Peo,		Sample Quantity	2.25 Litre	
	nnaur, Himachal Pradesh - 1		Sample Condition: Fille bottle	ed in PET bottle & ster	rilized glass
S. No.	Test Parameters	Test Results	Requirement As Per IS:10500:2012 Acceptable Limit/Permissible Limit	Test Method	Conformity
Α.	<b>Chemical Testing-Water</b>	-Drinking Wate	er	+	4
A.1.	Colour, Hazen units	<3.0	5.0/15.0 Max.	IS:3025(P-4)1983	Yes
A.2.	Odour	Agreeable	Agreeable	IS:3025(P-5)2018	Yes
A.3.	Turbidity, NTU	<0.1	1.0/5.0 Max.	IS:3025(P-10)1984	Yes
A.4.	pH value (at 25.0°C)	7.48			Yes
A.5.	Chloride (as Cl), mg/l	14.9	250/1000 Max.	IS:3025(P-32)1988	Yes
A.6.	Total Hardness (as CaCO₃), mg/l	25.0	200/600 Max.	IS:3025(P-21)2009	Yes
A.7.	Total Dissolved Solids, mg/l	56.0	500/2000 Max.	IS:3025(P-16)1984	Yes
A.8.	Fluoride (as F), mg/l	<1.0	1.0/1.5 Max.	IS:3025(P-60)2008	Yes
в.	<b>Biological Testing-Wate</b>	r-Drinking Wate			
B.1.	E. coli, per 250ml	Absent	Shall not be detectable in any 100ml. sample	IS:15185:2016	Yes
B.2.	Coliform, per 250ml	Absent	Shall not be detectable in any 100ml. sample	IS:15185:2016	Yes

Note: According to IS :10500-2012, it is recommended that the acceptable limit is to be implemented. Values greater than the acceptable limits render the water is not suitable, but still may be tolerated in the absence of an alternate source but upto permissible limits.

\*\*\* End of Report \*\*\*\*\*

0

ack

DUNS No.864393171

Format No.: STRC/7.8/F/TC/Z/02

playi Biena Gupta Aparna Section Incharge Section Incharge

DELHI

WWW.SIGMATEST.ORG

(1) The Test results listed refer only to tested samples and applicable parameters. Endorsement of product is neither inferred nor implied.(2) Total liability of our Lab is limited to the invoiced amount. (3) Sample(s) are retained for 7 days (in case of perishable items) and 30 days for non perishable samples or unless otherwise specified. (4) This Test Report is not to be reproduced wholly or in part and cannot be used as an evidence in the court of Law and should not be used in any advertising media without our special permission in writing. (5) The Test Report refer to the sample submitted to us and not drawn by Sigma Test & Research Centre unless mentioned otherwise.

BA-15, Mangolpuri Industrial area, Phase - 2, Delhi 110034(India) Ph: +91 11 49491400, 27022900 E-mail: info@sigmatest.org web: www.sigmatest.org

20.0 Max



SFDA DUNS No.864393171 TEST & RESEARCH CENTRE || web: www.sigmatest.org TESTING OF FOOD | WATER | CHEMICALS | TOYS | ELECTRONICS | BUILDING MATERIAL | ENVIRONMENT

GM

eedback

TEST CERTIFICATE

(This certificate is not valid without a hologram)

Page : 1/1 Report No. : 22001221 Sample : Ambient Air Monitoring Sample Description : Ambient Air Monitoring Report Issue Date: 08/08/2019 Customer Ref : Nil STRC/22001221 lob Code Customer Name & Address : 05/08/2019 Sample Received On Patel Engineering Ltd 05/08/2019-07/08/2019 Integrated Kashang H.E.P. (Stage II & III), Near Date of Testing Ambedkar Bhawan, Top Floor, Thakur Shopping 1 Point Sample Quantity Complex, Main Bazar Reckong Peo, Tehsil Kalpa, Sample Condition: -Date of Sampling: 02/08/2019 Distt. Kinnaur, Himachal Pradesh - 172107 Sampling Location: Near Batching Plant Site Address: Integrated Kashang H.E.P. (Stage II & III), Reckong Peo, Himachal Pradesh. **Test Method Requirement As Test Results Test Parameters** S. No. Per NAAQS-2009 Digital Gas Analyser 80 Max 29.0 Sulphur Dioxide (SO2), µg/m3 Digital Gas Analyser 1. 80 Max 21.0 Nitrogen Dioxide (NO2), µg/m3 Digital PM10 Sampler 2. 100 Max 40.2 Particulate Matter (PM10), µg/m3 Digital PM2.5 Sampler 3. 60 Max 31.1 Particulate Matter (PM2.5), µg/m3 **CPCB** Guideline 4. 100 Max 16.3 Ozone (O3), µg/m3 IS:5182(P-22)2004 5. 1.0 Max Not Detected Lead (Pb), µg/m<sup>3</sup> 6. CO Meter 2000 Max 835.0 Carbon Monoxide (CO), µg/m<sup>3</sup> APHA-Air (401) 7. 400 Max 5.9 Ammonia (NH<sub>3</sub>), µg/m<sup>3</sup> IS:5182(P-11)2006 8. 5.0 Max Not Detected Benzene (C6H6), µg/m3 IS:5182(P-12)2006 9. 1.0 Max Not Detected Banzo (á) Pyrene(BaP), ng/m3 10. ASTM D4185-06 6.0 Max Not Detected

Note: • NAAQS = National Ambient Air Quality Standards

Arsenic (As), ng/m3

Nickel (Ni), ng/m3

Format No.: STRC/7.8/F/TC/Z/01

11.

12.

Remark : The ambient air quality of above location conforms to various requirements tested as per NAAQS-2009 with respect to above tests.

Not Detected

\*\*\*\* End of Report \*\*\*\*



#### WWW.SIGMATEST.O

ASTM D4185-06

(1) The Test results listed refer only to tested samples and applicable parameters. Endorsement of product is neither inferred nor implied.(2) Total liability of our Lab is line to the invoiced amount. (3) Sample(s) are retained for 7 days (in case of perishable items) and 30 days for non perishable samples or unless otherwise specified. This Test Report is not to be reproduced wholly or in part and cannot be used as an evidence in the court of Law and should not be used in any advertising media w our special permission in writing. (5) The Test Report refer to the sample submitted to us and not drawn by Sigma Test & Research Centre unless mentioned otherwise.

BA-15, Mangolpuri Industrial area, Phase - 2, Delhi 110034(India) Ph: +91 11 49491400, 27022900 E-mail: info@sigmatest.org



ESTING OF FOOD | WATER | CHEMICALS | TOYS | ELECTRONICS | BUILDING MATERIAL | ENVIRONMENT TEST CERTIF (This certificate is not valid without a hologram)

Sample : DG Stack Emission Sample Description: DG Stack Emission

A DUNS No.864393171 TEST & RESEARCH CENTRE

Joack

Ref. No.	: Nil		
Issued To	: Patel Engineering Ltd Integrated Kashang H.E.P. (Stage II & III), Near Ambedhar Bhawan, Top Floor, Thakur Shopping Complex, Main Bazar Reckong Peo, Tehsil Kalpa, Destt. Kinnaur-172107 (H.P.)	1	Report No.: Report Issue Job Code N Sample Rec Sampling Da Sample Qty: Page: 1 of 2

: 22001220 ie Date: 07/08/2019 lo.: STRC/22001220 ceived On: 05/08/2019 Date: 02/08/2019 : 1Point

Location: Near Batching Plant Site Address: Integrated kashang H.E.P (Stage II & III), Reckong Peo, H.P. Description: One Stack Emission Sample Was Collected by us on 02/08/19 Between 1:15 Pm to 1:25 Pm

1.	Name and Address of the industry:	Patel Engineering Ltd
2.	Name of Plant & Section	Integrated Kashang H.E.P. (Stage II & III), Himachal Pradesh
3.	Emission Source Monitored	DG Stack 500 KVA
4.	Type of Fuel Used	HSD
5.	Stack Identification	500 KVA
6.	Normal Operating Schedule (Hr/day)	As Per Requirement
7.	Location of Sampling Point	Sampling Point
3.	Type of Chimney (ACC/Metal)	Metal
).	Stack Height (M) From Ground Level	3.048 M
0.	Diameter of Stack (cm)	25 Cm
1.	Sampling Duration (Minutes)	10 Minutes
2.	Parameters Monitored	PM, NO <sub>2</sub> , SO <sub>2</sub> , CO, Total Hydrocarbon
3.	Purpose of Monitored	Assessment of Pollution Load
4.	Control Measures (if any)	-
5.	Recovery of Material (if any)	
5.	General Sensory Observations	Normal

Format No.: STRC/7.8/F/TC/Z/00

(1) The Test results listed refer only to tested samples and applicable parameters. Endorsement of product is neither inferred nor implied.(2) Total liability of our Lab is limited (1) The test results listed refer only to tested samples and applicable parameters. Endorsement of product is neutred interfed nor implicute/ rotal nating of our cables inneutred to the invoiced amount. (3) Sample(s) are retained for 7 days (in case of perishable items) and 30 days for non perishable samples or unless otherwise specified. (4) This Test Report is not to be reproduced wholly or in part and cannot be used as an evidence in the court of Law and should not be used in any advertising media without a submitted to us and entitles and should not be used in any advertising media without our special permission in writing. (5) The Test Report refer to the sample submitted to us and not drawn by Sigma Test & Research Centre unless mentioned otherwise.



AN ISO 9001:2008 & 14001:2005 ACCREDITED LABORATORY



BA-15, Mangolpuri Industrial area, Phase - 2, Delhi 110034(India) Ph: +91 11 49491400, 27022900 E-mail: info@sigmatest.org web: www.sigmatest.org



A DUNS No.864393171 TEST & RESEARCH CENTRE

ESTING OF FOOD | WATER | CHEMICALS | TOYS | ELECTRONICS | BUILDING MATERIAL | ENVIRONMENT

# TEST CERTIFICATE

(This certificate is not valid without a hologram)

5	a	n	ıţ	)]	e	
R	e	f	. 1	N	0	

: DG Stack Emission : Nil Report No.: 22001220 Report Issue Date: 07/08/2019 Page: 2 of 2

17	Fugitive Emission (if any)	
18	Stack Temperature (°C)	210 °C
19	Ambient Temperature (°C)	20 °C
20	Average Stack Velocity (m/sec)	12.33 m/sec
21	Quantity of Emission (Nm3/Hr)	945

S. No.	Test	Result	Limit	Test Method	Conformity
1.	Particulate Matter (PM), gm/Kw-hr	0.20	0.3 Max	IS:1125 (P-1)	Yes
2.	Nitrogen Oxide (as NO2), gm/Kw-hr	0.99	9.2 Max	IS:11255 (P-7)	Yes
3.	Sulphur Dioxide (as SO <sub>2</sub> ), gm/Kw-hr	0.34	/	IS:11255 (P-2)	
4.	Carbon Monoxide (as CO), gm/Kw-hr	1.9	3.5 Max	IS:5182 (P-10	Yes
5.	Total Hydrocarbon (as Methane), gm/Kw-hr	0.80	1.3 Max	APHA-101	Yes



WWW.SIGMATEST.ORG

Format No.: STRC/7.8/F/TC/Z/00

(1) The Test results listed refer only to tested samples and applicable parameters. Endorsement of product is neither inferred nor implied.(2) Total liability of our Lab is limited to the invoiced amount. (3) Sample(s) are retained for 7 days (in case of perishable items) and 30 days for non perishable samples or unless otherwise specified. (4 This Test Report is not to be reproduced wholly or in part and cannot be used as an evidence in the court of Law and should not be used in any advertising media withou our special permission in writing. (5) The Test Report refer to the sample submitted to us and not drawn by Sigma Test & Research Centre unless mentioned otherwise.

### PATEL ENGINEERING LIMITED INTEGRATED KASHANG HYDRO ELECTRIC PROJECT STAGE -II & III

Medical expenditure on workmen for the Period April-2019 to June-2019

Sr. No.	Particulars	Apr-19	May-19	Jun-19	TOTAL
1	Compunder & trained medical staff expenses	0	3226	25000	28226
2	Medicine	0	0	16400	16400
	Total	0	3226	41400	44626

For Patel Engineering Ltd. C 144 <u>ئ</u>ة Authorized Signatory Integrated Kashang HEP

### PATEL ENGINEERING LIMITED INTEGRATED KASHANG HYDRO ELECTRIC PROJECT STAGE -II & III

Medical expenditure on workmen for the Period July-2019 to September-2019

Sr. No.	Particulars	Jul-19	Aug-19	Sep-19	TOTAL
1	Compunder & trained medical staff expenses	25000	25000	25000	75000
2	Medicine	15000	20000	20000	55000
	Total	40000	45000	45000	130000

For Ratel Engineering Ltd. 3 1kn

Authorized Signatory Integrated Kashang HEP

No. H.P. Forest Department.

Dated R/peo <u>21-10-19</u>

From: DFO Kinnaur.

To: CF Rampur.

Subject: -

Quarterly progress report of Compensatory Afforestation (CA) for the Quarter ending 30.09.2019.

Memo:

The Quarterly progress report of Compensatory Afforestation (CA) for the Quarter ending 30.09.2019 in respect of Kinnaur Forest Division is sen herewith on the prescribed proforma for favour of information and necessary action a your end please.

Encl: As above.

E. Standa

Divisional Forests Officer, Kinnaur Forest Division, at R/Peo.

	Т								Т				Т						Т	_							Γ				Sr
Line	+		÷						-	-			+		-				+	-							1 R(	1		-	Sr. No. Ac
Contraction (second second		1		1.	-	j.		1			1 1 1	4			1			-				5		5	2		RCC Fencing	2			Activity
Malling	1			ł	-			NICHAI	211			B/Nagar						Burlootang								Kilba	cing	3		Kange	Name of
Leo	Sangla			Nichar	NP.1			Iranda		2000		B/Nagar		IBUR	KIDDa			Iguer		B	Karchha	Sangla		Kilba		Sangla			2	BIOCK	Name of
Chulling	Bari		Panvi	Nichar		Ihach		Iranda		Kupi		Bara Kamba		Акра	Moorang	Karang	3	Jangi	Sapni	Ralli	Shong	Seringcha	Punang	Kilba	Chansu	Sangla		4	1		Name of Beat
UF Hango	UF Khaio	C-86 (b)	C-86 (a)	UF- Wangtoo	C-69	C-70 (a)	C-72 (b)	C-72 (a)	UF Burang	UF Rupi	UF Gharshu	UF Shorang	UF Akpa	UF Asrang	UF Nesang	C-227	C-226	C-223	C-166	UF Meber	C-165	C-157	C-136	C-140	C-152 b	C-154 c		5		Bank	ame of Name of Name of Beat Name of land GPS location (in Deg.) Target allotted for
	1.1															T	T	T	T	T								6	Lat.		GPS
	147.0	-	1		-	-	_		-					_													_	7	5		location
																												00	Long.		GPS location (in Deg.)
9	s	s	5	s	2.5	2.5	5	5	2	دى س	s	s	s	10	5	5	10	5	s	s	s	S	s	. s	5	5		9	Phy in	ye	Target
	178000	178000	178000	178000	89000	00068		178000				178000	178000			178000	356000		178000	178000	178000	178000	178000	178000	178000	178000			Phy in hac.	year 2019-20	Target allotted for the
0	0	0	Orser and	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		uac. 12	Phy in	upto	Targ
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ó	. 0	0	0	0		0			0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		12	Fin.	upto Pre. Qtr.	or the Target achieved Target achieved Progression
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	- 0	0																nac.	Phy in	durin	Targe
			420			Ser. 2		42008						68531	0 34260		0 68531	0 34260	0 46208	0 46208	0 46209	0 46209	0 46209		0 46209	0 46209		15	Fin.	during the Qtr.	Target achieved
																												hac.	Phy in	ach	Pro
			0 42008	) 0	0 21004	0 21004	0 42008	0 42008	0 16803	0 25205	0 42008															0 46209	101		Fin.	achievement	Progressive
-		1			DOOKed		10		1		~		-1	_	-	21	-	21	~1	~1	2	21	ना	01	01		T	+	+	1	Ren

Ð

	Т								Т				Т						Т	_						-	Γ				Sr
Line	+		÷						-	-			+		-				+	-							1 R(	1		-	Sr. No. Ac
Contraction (second second		1		l	-	j.		1			1 1 1	4			1			-				5		5	2		RCC Fencing	2			Activity
Malling	1			ł	-			NICHAI	211			B/Nagar						Burlootang								Kilba	cing	3		Kange	Name of
Leo	Sangla			Nichar	NP.1			Iranda		2000		B/Nagar		IBUR	KIDDa			Iguer		B	Karchha	Sangla		Kilba		Sangla			2	BIOCK	Name of
Chulling	Bari		Panvi	Nichar		Ihach		Iranda		Kupi		Bara Kamba		Акра	Moorang	Karang	3	Jangi	Sapni	Ralli	Shong	Seringcha	Punang	Kilba	Chansu	Sangla		4	1		Name of Beat
UF Hango	UF Khaio	C-86 (b)	C-86 (a)	UF- Wangtoo	C-69	C-70 (a)	C-72 (b)	C-72 (a)	UF Burang	UF Rupi	UF Gharshu	UF Shorang	UF Akpa	UF Asrang	UF Nesang	C-227	C-226	C-223	C-166	UF Meber	C-165	C-157	C-136	C-140	C-152 b	C-154 c		5		Bank	ame of Name of Name of Beat Name of land GPS location (in Deg.) Target allotted for
	1.1															T	T	T	T	T								6	Lat.		GPS
	147.0	-	1		-	-			-					_													_	7	5		location
																												00	Long.		GPS location (in Deg.)
9	s	s	5	s	2.5	2.5	5	5	2	دى س	s	s	s	10	5	5	10	5	s	s	s	S	s	. s	5	5		9	Phy in	ye	Target
	178000	178000	178000	178000	89000	00068		178000				178000	178000			178000	356000		178000	178000	178000	178000	178000	178000	178000	178000			Phy in hac.	year 2019-20	Target allotted for the
0	0	0	Ormer and	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		uac. 12	Phy in	upto	Targ
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ó	. 0	0	0	0		0			0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		12	Fin.	upto Pre. Qtr.	or the Target achieved Target achieved Progression
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	- 0	0																nac.	Phy in	durin	Targe
			420			Ser. 2		42008						68531	0 34260		0 68531	0 34260	0 46208	0 46208	0 46209	0 46209	0 46209		0 46209	0 46209		15	Fin.	during the Qtr.	Target achieved
																												hac.	Phy in	ach	Pro
			0 42008	) 0	0 21004	0 21004	0 42008	0 42008	0 16803	0 25205	0 42008															0 46209	101		Fin.	achievement	Progressive
-		1			DOOKed		10		1		~		-1	_	-	21	-	21	~1	~1	2	21	ना	01	01		T	+	+	1	Ren

Ð

$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Kanam Giabong	Nichar	B/Nagar Rupi LIF Runi	mba	Rakchham	Seringcha C-162 Batseri		lam	B	archha Rali	2 Planting in RCC LBs	Total Fencing:-	Total Wooden Fencing Namoo Thungach	0Q		C-92	Kataoon Martin	ng	Kanam Sunnam	Pooh Pooh Namgia (Gramkhori)	Runang Runang				Kalpa C-239		Chango	Malling Malling UF Malling
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	470000 0	470000 0	376000 0	0 001676	0 00081	0 000/15	235000 0	235000 0	282000 0	658100 0	1.4.4.4	8081200 0	712000 0	178000 0	178000 0	178000 0	178000 0	/369200 0	0 00071/	0 000000		178000 0	178000 0	178000 0	000011	179000 0	178000 0	356000 0	10000
		470000	33200				0	1.94	4.88 229200	11.38 534900	4	0		0 0			 0	0 1	0	0		,	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Kanam Giabong	Nichar	B/Nagar Rupi LIF Runi	mba	Rakchham	Seringcha C-162 Batseri		lam	B	archha Rali	2 Planting in RCC LBs	Total Fencing:-	Total Wooden Fencing Namoo Thungach	0Q		C-92	Kataoon Martin	ng	Kanam Sunnam	Pooh Pooh Namgia (Gramkhori)	Runang Runang				Kalpa C-239		Chango	Malling Malling UF Malling
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	470000 0	470000 0	376000 0	0 001676	0 00081	0 000/15	235000 0	235000 0	282000 0	658100 0	1.4.4.4	8081200 0	712000 0	178000 0	178000 0	178000 0	178000 0	/369200 0	0 00071/	0 000000		178000 0	178000 0	178000 0	000011	179000 0	178000 0	356000 0	10000
		470000	33200				0	1.94	4.88 229200	11.38 534900	4	0					 0	0 1	0	0	0	,	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

				N	_	_	_						(	0			_				1						ļ			
	P		_	2nd Year Maintt.			-									-								IST Year Maintt.	LOTAL				•.	
			Kilba	intt.		0	Katgaon	1				Kalpa		Nichar		B/Nagar			Moorang	-		*	NIDa		1 otal Planting:-				Natgaon	Kato
m	77 11	Guide	Sangla	A CHAN AND A	Total ler		on Katgaon	1	Kalpa		-	1.1	Sungra	ar Nichar		gar B/Nagar			g Jangi	Karchha m	B	Karchha	Sangla	2					aon Natgaon	
Sapni	Sangla	Cialiou	Chansu-	A Call -	Veer	TOP201	120	Kalna	Pangi	Runang	Umi	Tapri	Sungra	Kaksthal	1	_	Lippa	Rarang	Jangi	Shong		Rall;	Rakchham			Nathpa			n Katgaon	
C-141	UF Hurba	Or -raiingena	TTE Dalingaha		101-11	U=29	C 20	0.220	C-218	TIE-Choling	NC-33	UF-Kaksthal	C-79	NC-14	C-68c	NC-5	UF-Asrang	UF-Korti Rarang	UF-Jangi	C-48	Or-Kalli	C-102 D	UF-160			C-92	UF-28	UF-27	UF-30	TTP 20
2 A																							1							
1.11.12		and the second					*****			-																				Ī
S	s	s		110	S	S	5	5	5	0		nu		10	10	10	. 13	10	7	5	5	5	5		120	10	10	10	10	1
32000	32000	32000		1045000	47500	47500	47500	47500	47500	47500	4/500	47500	4/200	4/500	47500	142500	142500	4/200	17500	47500	47500		47500		6110200		Π			Γ
0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	-				0	0	0
0	0	0			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		.0	0	0	0	-	0			0 0	0	0
0	2.53	0	_	17.13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	s	S	0.82	1.05	0	0	0		0	0	2.63	2.63	48.8	2.11	2.1	2.00	205	354	C
	16175	0	100	162750	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	47500	47500	7800	9950	0	0	0		0		25000	25000	2293195	99200	98840	00200	COCOL	166305	0
0	2.53	0	0	17.13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	s	s	0.82	1.05	0	0	0		0	0	2.63	262	48.8	2.11	2.1	2.05	3.04	0	>
	16175		101100	16270		0	0	0	0	0		47500	47500	7800	9950						200	25000	25000	2293195	992	98840	962	166305	1 1 1 1	

Expenditu	OE	00	0 0	00	00	00	33000	10	31 36' 33.1" 78 26 ' 05.2"	31 36' 33	Or-Lanen			Γ		
	0	0	0	10			33000	10	4.5" 78 34 ' 04.7"	31 26' 54.5"	UF-Shurting	Moorang	1	Moorang Ribba	Mo	
	39500	11.97	39500	11.97	0 0	0 0	33000	10	-	31 29' 21.5"	C-167	Ralli	tham	-		+
	0	0	0			-		3	9.5" 78 71' 52"	31 21' 59.5"	UF-160	Rakchham	_	Va		
	CIMME	0		0	0	0	33000	10	55.8" 78 11' 16.6"	31 28' 55.8"	C-166	apni				
	27112	8.17	52275	8.17	0	0	000800	CC					inchham C		Kilba	_
	0	2 40 g g						02				ar:-	Total 2nd Year:-	Tot	faintt.	3rd Year Maintt.
			_	0	0	0	32000	s			UPF-Darch	Musrang	-			-
		0	0	0	0	0	32000	S		hpa	UPF-26 Nathpa	тлатра	1 -			2
	0	0	0	0	0	0	32000	s			Naugunach	Jothno	-			
-1	0	0	0	0	C						Donathal	Kafnoo	Katgaon	Katgaon	F	
	0		Mar.				32000	S		-	C-239	Kalpa				_
			0	0	0	0	32000	S			C-240				•	
21		2	0	0	0	0	64000	10			0 610	q	)		1	
<u> </u>		0	0	0	0					+	C-240	Pangi	Kalpa	Kalpa		
booke	00/01					_	96000	15		ŏ	UF Wangtoo	Nichar	INICHAL			
e incuri	1670	262	16750	0 2.62	0	0	32000	s		ŏ	OF Varsnoo			Nichar		
0 Exnend		0	0	0 0		c				-	TROLI	C/Kamha	B/Nagar			
50	19350	3.02	DCCKT			>	00000	s		_	UF Ramni	Jani-Ramni	Sholtu			
c				202		0	32000	s			NC-1	Chaura	D/INagar	201 Magar		
0				0 0			32000	s	2		C-189	2	DAL	RNaco		
0 L)		0					32000	s			C-186	Ribba	Ribba	Moorang		
2			2	0		0	32000	S								1

		71				1	61					alter .							our year maintt.	211								The year tytainit.	Ath woor			ŀ			
	40	7th year Maintt.			-		6th year Maintt.										j	×.	1									IVIAIIIII.	Maint				1		
raiba	Kalna	aintt.	and and a second se	Naipa	1	ar			Kalpa		Nichar		B/Nagar					NIDa	12:11-2				Katgaon		Kalpa	Nichar	15110d	Kilha		Katgaon	B/Nagar				
CUII	IImi		Total 6th	OTHI	Tim:	B/Nagar		Total 5th Year:-	Umi		Nichar		<b>B</b> /Nagar	+				Karchnam Saphi	17-11	I otal 4th Year:-		_	Katgaon		Shongtong Barang	Nichar	Nal Cititati	Karchhan	Lotal Srd Year:-	Katgaon	B/nagar	2			
Rithin	Dimana		Total 6th year maint.	Kunang	Dimagai	B/Nagar		(ear:-	Runang	Nichar	Kaksthal		B/Nagar	Ralli		Suous	2	Sapni		Year:-	Kafnoo			Tangling	g Barang	Kaksthal	Japon	C	Year:-	Kafnoo	B/nagar	Karang	5	Lippa	and the second s
C-248	0110			New-C-248	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	. C-73			C-248	UF-Sumo	UF-Kaksthal	UF-B/Nagar	UF-Maneoti	UF-Ralli	C-165-II	C-165-1	UF- Wadang	UF- Dharwadang			UF-29	Thach	UF-Rarang	C-176	UF-Landhar	Deepee	Nangrius Denat	V D-		UF-29	UF-B/nagar	UF-Khadra	UF-Asrang	C-215	10 212
S.CC DE 16	1 10 10 11		Contra Section	31 30' 01.6"	31 32 0.0	10 0"12 12			31 30' 01.6"	31 32' 35.1"	31-31-58.6"	31 34' 0.7"	31 31' 35.9	31 29' 15.7"	31 30' 25.2"	31 28' 11.8"	31 29" 30.6"	31 28' 54.9"			31 35' 386"		31 32' 948"	31 30' 36.8"	31 33' 49.7"	31 30' 38.0"	51 28 34	1.		31 39' 605"	31 33' 49.3"	31 35' 25.1"	30 37' 9.20"	31 39' 30"	
/8 10' 49.3"			The second second	78 10 . 49.3"	11 34 22.9"	10 20 12 17			31 30' 01.6" 078 10 ' 49.3"	31 32' 35.1" 077 59' 36.3"	078 02' 31.4"	077 56' 48.5"	077 46' 41.8"	078 12' 31.1"	078 10' 11.1"	078 11' 04.3"		078 11'11.0"			78 02 ' 802"			78 16 ' 21.8"	78 16' 54.3"	78 02 ' 45.2"	/8 10 ' 42"		1.56.8	78 00' 771"	" 77 57 ' S2.5"		78 25 '6.42"	78 24 "28.9"	
10		20	70	10	20	~	C 1 1	115	10	15	10	15	10	10	10	10	10	15	4.17	- 54	8	ì	>0	10	10	6.5	10		115	10	S	10	10	10	-
33000	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	DAAKK	00000	33000	66000		000010	379500	DUCE	49500	00055	49500	33000	33000	33000	33000	33000	49500		178300	26400	00110	31400	33000	33000	21500	33000		379500	33000	16500	33000	33000	33000	
0	17 - 10 - 1			0	0	,	-	0	0	2 0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	c	2	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	
0		0		0	0		0				>	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	
0		2.58	-	0	2.58		31.20	21 76	0 0	10	10	15	909	0	0	0	0	0		6.5	0	_			0	65	0		14.42	0	2.45	0	0	0	
0	1.	0058		0	8500		0CTC01	102120		DDDCC		49500	02900	0	0	0	0	0		21500	0	c			0	21500	0		47600	0	8100	0	0	0	
0	0	2.58		0	2.58	0	51.26	0	0	10	10	15	767	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.5	0	C	0		0.0	27	0	0	14.42	0	245	0	0	0	
0	a the first	. 8500		0	8500	Aller a state	103150	0	0	33000	00064	10500	20050			0	0	0		21500	0	0	0		00017	71500	0		47600	0	0100				
			NOO TOT	incurred by	Expenditu						DOOKEC		-		Expendi							booke	-	a									-13	book	ALC: NOT

		Π			Π						÷		loth	Τ		Т				Τ	2 m	0++		-
	and the second											_	10th year Maint.	$\left  \right $		+				+	ou year mainti.	The Main		8th ye
1				Tota	Total 10th					Kalpa		Nichar		Total Oth		Nichar			ndine.	Kaln	U. V:162		Kaipa	8th year Maintt.
	Total Maint :- G. Total :-	Total Planting:-	Total Fencina-	Total Maint.	Total 10th year maint.		Kalpa			Umi		r Nichar	Aouni / th Jear mainte	veor maint		r Nichar	Naipa	UTII			Varahhan	Total 8th	Urni	
	lint :-	ting:-	vina-			R/Peo.	Pangi	Runang	Runang	Tapri	Kaksthal	Kakethal		Kaksthal	TATCHER	Nicho-	Pangi	Lapri	Noghi	1		h year maint.	Runang	
	Д. 4			and the second se	UF YUIA	UF 242	C-240 (Boktu)	UF Runang	UF Miru	UF Chagaon	UF-Dinee	TTE Valathal		UF- Kaksthal	INC-14 (INICIDAT)	C-242	C-240	UF- Chagaon	C-24/(Kalpa)	Ur-Kanai (Kilba)		-	UF Kastiyarang	
			ABSTRACT		51 53.6" 09"		31 31' 48.3"	31 30' 35.10" 78 11' 21.8"	31 32' 25"	31 31' 51.3"	7.07 10 10	21 211 26 21		31 31' 18.4"	31 31 23./"	31 31'07"	31 34' 51.31"	31 31' 51.3"	31 30' 25.5"	31 31 28.3"			31 31' 13.4'	
					78 07"12"	78 15' 28.06"	78 18' 05.8"	78 11' 21.8"	78 08' 30"	78 04' 31 5"	"C.6. 50 8/	10 001 0 20		78 14' 46.5"	78 30 0.83"	78 15' 27.66"	-		78 10 11.1"	78 03' 0.58"	1		78 09' 4.1"	
	618.5 995.5	247		618.5	10	s	S	5	5 0	2.0	3 5		36	5	S	5	. 5	5	10			10	10	
	3017700 17209100	8081200 6110200		3017700	33000	16500	16500	16500	16500	1/500	16500		118800	16500	16500	16500	16500	16500	33000	3300		33000	33000	
at Reck	000	00		0 0	0	0	0 0	0 0	00	0	- 0 -		0	0	0	0	0	0		0		0	0	
Divisional Forest Officer, Kinnaur Forest Division at Reckong Peo.	14			0	0	00			0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	4
	48.8 2293195 99 456875 147.4 4765400		C/ 00C+ 0C+07			00			0				10 33	5 16	5 16	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	4
	14					00			0	11600	16500		33000	16500	16500	0	0	0	0	0	<	•	0	-
	48.8 2293195 99 456875 7.36 4765400		98.50 450875		0	00	0	0	0	3.5 11			10 33			0	0 0		0	0 0		>	0 0	e
	195	330	875	28100	0	0 booke	0 but nc		0 Expend	11600	16500		33000	16500	1	0 hut n	0 e incut	0 E			-		0	0

4765400	147.36	4765400	147.4	120	0	17209100	995.5				<b>;</b>	G. Total :-	
456875	66	456875	99		0	3017700	618.5	10		101 TO 10	1 2 1	<b>Total Maint :-</b>	
2293195	48.8	48.8 2293195	48.8		0	6110200	130	19 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		5110 (col		<b>Fotal Planting:</b> -	
2015330	0	2015330	0	1.42.12	0	8081200	247				ing:-	<b>Total Fencing:-</b>	
	State of the second second	and the second of the second second	and the second s	1.10					ABSTRACT				
456875	98.56	456875	98.56	0	0 :	3017700	618.5	N 147 1 - 1				Aaint.	Total Maint.
28100	8.5	28100	8.5	0	0	143600	43.5				1.2	<b>Fotal 10th year maint.</b>	otal 10th y
0	0	0	0	-0	0	33000	10	78 07"12"	31 33.6" 09"	UF Yulla	Urni	Urni	
0	0	0	0	0	0	16500	S	78 15' 28.06"	31 31' 30.2"	UF 242	R/Peo.		
0	0	0	0	.0	. 0	16500	S	78 18' 05.8"	31 31' 48.3"	C-240 (Boktu)	Pangi	Kalpa	
0	0	Constanting 0	0	· 0 (11)	0	16500	S	78 11' 21.8"	31 30' 35.10"	UF Runang	Runang	1	
0	0	0	0	-0	0	16500	S	78 08' 30"	31 32' 25"	UF Miru	Runang		
	- 0	0	0	0	0	16500	s	78 04' 31.5"	31 31'51.3"	UF Chagaon	Tapri	Umi	Kalpa
11600	3.5	11600	3.5	0	0	11600	3.5	78 02' 16.3"	31 32'10.3"	UF-Dipee	Kaksthal		
16500	5	16500	5	0	0	16500	5	78 03' 9.5"	31 31 25.2"	UF Kaksthal	Kaksthal	Nichar	Nichar
	0	a substantia a subst		144									
33000	10	33000	10	0	0	118800	. 36	111-		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		Total 9th year maint.	otal 9th y
16500	5	16500	5	0	0	16500	5	78 14' 46.5"	31 31' 18.4"	UF- Kaksthal	Kaksthal		
16500	5	16500	S	0	0	16500	S	78 30' 0.83"	31 31' 25.7"	NC-14 (Nichar)	Nichar	Nichar	Nichar
0	0	0	0	0	0	16500	S	78 15' 27.66"	31 31' 07"	C-242	R/Peo.		
0	0	0	0	0	0	16500	S	78 16' 17.4"	31 34' 51.31" 78 16' 17.4"	C-240	Pangi	Kalpa	
0	0	0	0	0	0	16500	5	78 06' 30.8"	31 31' 51.3"	UF- Chagaon	Tapri	Urni	
() are subscription of the	0.00 million - 199	0	0	0	0	33000	10	78 10 11.1"	31 30' 25.5"	C-247 (Kalpa)	Roghi	Kalpa	Kalpa
0	0	0	0	0	0	3300	1	78 03' 0.58"	31 31' 28.3"	UF-Kanai (Kilba)	Sapni	Karchham	Kilba
	0				-								
•	0	0	0	0	0	33000	10				year maint.	Total 8th	
() () () ()	0	0	0	0	0	33000	10	78 09' 4.1"	31 31' 13.4'	UF Kastiyarang	Runang	Umi	Kalpa
	0	_		all the second									Maintt.
Part Anna and Street	のないないのであるという	LAND CALLS		0	•	00000	UT.				A over the Jean manne.	* 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	

Divisional Division Kinnaur Forest Division at Reckong Peo.