(A State Government Undertaking)

Page 1 of 10



(REVISED FORMAT-2020)

ANNUAL PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL REPORT

(FOR EXECUTIVE(s)- E2 to E9)

Reporting Period:	FromTo
Name(as per Service rec	ord)
Designation & Level :-	
Employee No.:-	
Place of Posting:-	
Department:-	
Period of abs <mark>ence/l</mark> eave d days in a ye <mark>ar</mark>	luring the reporting period only in cases where the leave extends beyond 30
Reporting Officer:	
Name :	
Designation :	
Place of posting	

(A State Government Undertaking)

Page 2 of 10

GUIDELINES FOR USE

- A) Definition of Ratings:-
- 1. Not satisfactory (1): Consistently falls short of performance standards.
- 2. Average (2): performance generally as per job requirement. Sometimes meets the performance standards. Seldom exceeds and often falls short of desired results. Performance has declined significantly, or employee has not sustained adequate improvement, as required since the last performance review or performance improvement plan.
- **3.** <u>Effective and Competent (3):-</u> Performance higher than average. Meets all the relevant performance standards. Seldom exceeds or falls short of desired results or objectives.
- 4. <u>Very effective (4):-</u> exceedingly high level of performance. Consistently meets & often exceeds all relevant performance standards. Shows initiative and versatility, works collaboratively, has strong technical & interpersonal skills or has achieved significant improvement in these areas.
- 5. Exceptional (5):- consistently exceeds all relevant performance standards inspite of constraints and not confined to the level/discipline in which he/she is working but to the Project/unit as a whole. Provides leadership, fosters teamwork, is highly productive, innovative, responsive and generates top quality work.

PART-B

- 1. **Responsibility for Assessment**: Assessment for each employee will be done by the Reporting Officer (to whom the employee reports) the minimum level for which should as per the instructions in the guidelines.
- 2. Where the employee has worked with more than one reporting person for more than 3 months, he will be assessed by all the reporting persons.
- 3. The reporting person should evaluate the attributes as corroborated by periodic records and have sufficient evidence reflected during the entire period and not on isolated or recent incidents.
- 4. Each attribute should be assessed independently, uninfluenced by the rating of other attributes. Against attributes that are not applicable, kindly write 'NA'.

It may be pointed out that the appraiser has a freedom not to evaluate an attribute for which he does not have sufficient data or which he does not consider relevant for the position of the appraise.

(A State Government Undertaking)

Page 3 of 10

SECTION-I

KEY RSULT AREA/TARGET RATINGS

(To be filled up by the Appraisee & The Reporting Officer/Appraiser)

1. A brief Summary of duties and responsibilities (objectives of the position you hold and the tasks

you are required to perform in about 100 Words)

		KRAs in order of priority wherein quantitative, phes were set by you in consultation with the HOD/HOP.	KRAs in order of priority wherein quantitative, physical or fin

Key Result Areas(Min. 3 KRA's to be given & Maximum 10)	Weighta ge in % to each KRA	Major Tasks/ Target Given	Actual Achievement	SAR Self/Apprais e Rating (Out of 15)	ROR Reporting Officer/ Appraiser rating (Out 35)	Comments in case of rating >27 (only for Reporting Officer)
I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII
		KRA	Score= \(\sum_{S}\)	AR +ROR		

(A State Government Undertaking)

Page 4 of 10

1.		e of shortfall of expected targets of performance please state the constraints faced asons. Also describe the steps taken to overcome the constraints.
2.	Target	indicate your contribution in case of significantly higher achievements of the s.
3.		additional responsibilities you would like to take in the next year?
1.	Would	l you like a change in work area/ job profile? If yes please elaborate.
5.	Declar	ration
	i)	Have you filed your immovable property return, as due, if yes, please mention the date
	ii)	Have you set the annual work plan for all officers for the current year, in respect of whom you are the reporting authority?
	Date: -	

(A State Government Undertaking)

Page 5 of 10

SECTION- II (To be filled up by the Appraise & Reporting Officer)

The objective of this section is to provide the employee with a clear feedback as an individual

PERFORMANCE MEASURES

For each Performance Measure below, rate the employee on the Rating that is applicable by placing a tick in the appropriate box: by the Reporting Officer

Each Performance Measure consists Maximum rating of 5 and Minimum of 1

In case the Rating is Exceptional (5) or not satisfactory (1), substantiate with supporting facts/comments

Performance						Comments if (1) or (5)
measure	Not satisfactory (1)	Average(2)	Effective competent (3)	Very effective(4)	Exceptional (5)	
I. Quantity of Output(Productivity) - Extent of target fulfillment and completion of assigned tasks.	Output far below. Target/tasks not fulfilled. Low effort	Output below target/expectat ion despite lack of constraints. Moderate efforts	Tasks assigned generally met with moderate effort	Fulfillment of all tasks/targets, despite constraints.	Output of Work exceptionally high and above expectations/tasks assigned despite high degree of difficult tasks	
II. Quality of Output: General excellence in Output, the extent of work free from errors.	Works consistently below required standard. Makes no effort to improve. Does not lay any emphasis on quality of product/work	Work barely upto the mark. Needs to be corrected	Generally produces work of acceptable quality. Sometimes work needs correction	Does a thorough and accurate job. Work needs minimum correction. High quality consciousness. Work is very well executed and effective	Excellent quality of output. Accurate in work under all conditions. Unusual accuracy, though, effective	
III. Job Knowledge and Skill: Knowledge pertaining to the area of work and related areas , Technical & Professional Knowledge for present job	Poor knowledge. No Motivation to learn	Insufficient knowledge of some of the phases of assigned work. Satisfactory knowledge of routine phases of assigned work.	Knowledge adequate in own area. Needs updating in related areas.	Good Knowledge of his own job and Related areas. Up dates himself	Excellent Knowledge of own job and related areas. Professionally upto date.	
IV. Planning & Organising: Ability for anticipating work needs and development of effective action plans in relation to tasks assigned	Very unsystematic and unmethodical. Does no planning at all.	Ability to plan and organize is marginal	Generally systematic and methodical. Sometimes slow in arranging load to meet plan	Normally anticipates work needs and is able to prepare a plan of action; generally good in arranging work load to meet plan	Exceptional ability to anticipate future work needs ahead of time, and to work in a logical manner to meet plan	

(A State Government Undertaking)

Page 6 of 10

V. Initiative: Ability to be self – reliant and move forward on a task without outside direction	Always requires to be told. Unable to function independently . Cannot do simple jobs without guidance and follow up	Needs guidance, instructions and follow up	Generally shows good initiative. Occasionally needs follow up	Good initiative. Undertakes all tasks and overcomes obstacles independently	Totally self reliant. A self starter.
VI. Problem analysis and Decision Making: Ability to identify problems, prioritise them, analyse alternative courses of action and take decisions	Lacks analytical ability. Does not take decisions	Lacks analytical ability. Occasionall y takes decisions. Wavering and uncertain	Moderate analytical ability. Handles day to day decisions. Sometimes delays decision making	Good analytical abilities. Confident and quick decision making most of the time.	Excellent Analytical abilities. Good grasp of complex issues. Always takes confident and quick decisions even on complex problems
VII.Commitment and Sense of Responsibility: Dedication to work and company objectives. Reliability to complete assigned tasks	Fails to carry out supervisor's suggestion for improvement Alienated. Evades/Sheds responsibility	Low sense of duty. Does not identify with the job/compan y Needs to be reminded of desired improveme nts in order to maintain improveme nt	Accepts but does not seek responsibilit y Improves work habits and performance when counseled	High sense of duty. Accepts and seeks responsibilit y Willingly accepts suggestions for improvement and occasionally seeks counsel on performance and work habits	Totally identifies with the job/company. Seeks and accepts responsibility with full accountability Independently puts forth efforts to improve performance and work habits. Seeks counsel on ways to improve and exceeds suggestions
VIII. Team Spirit: Co-ordination and co-operation with colleagues to achieve team objective.	Cannot work with others in a team. Creates conflicts and dissipates the energy of the group in dysfunctional pursuits	Very passive as a team member. Does not contribute and withdraws quickly	Marginal contribution. Prefers to work alone. Not comfortable in a group.	Effective team member. Contributes to the team effort. Very well aware of his own role.	Outstanding team member. Keeps the larger goal in view. Contributes. Is open to ideas and can influence working of the group

(A State Government Undertaking)

Page 7 of 10

IX. Lateral Coordination: Ability to effectively coordinate with all linked departments to ensure smooth functioning	Unable to coordinate. Rapport with colleagues is not good. Unable to either explain own requirements or appreciate the roles/problems of others	Coordinatio n is weak. Frequent problems with other departments or outside agencies	Sometimes needs higher level intervention to solve problems	Good Contribution abilities. Good relations with colleagues. Able to work well as a member of inter- disciplinary team	Outstanding coordination abilities. Excellent Rapport with and knowledge of related areas and is able to obtain full cooperation of colleagues/agen cies
X.Communication Skill and desire to share available information with all concerned.	Lacks both the skill and the will to communicate. Keeps things to himself. Express no desire to acquire information.	Only believes in downward communication . Cannot express himself well.	Has moderate skill and desire to share information. Relies heavily on formal communication channels	Shares information with all concerned. Good expression. Open to feedback.	Excellent clarity of thought and expression. Uses all channels of communication. Is receptive to feedback and aware of what is happening.

Performance Measure Score:

Scores each at Sr. No. I to X

0 0	A Score as in Section-I = rformance Measure Score Section-II =	
Overall Score=		
One of the following achieved by adding 1	Rating(s) to be ticked by the Reporti & 2 above	ng Officer on the basis of Score
Score(0-20):-	Not satisfactory	
Score(21-40):-	Average	
Score(41-60):-	Effective &Competent	
Score(61-80):-	Very effective:	
Score(81-100):-	Exceptional	

(Name in BLOCK LETTERS)

(A State Government Undertaking)

Page 8 of 10

SECTION-III (to be filled up by the Reporting Officer/Appriaser)

POTENTIAL APPRAISAL

a)	Is his/her potential fully utilized on present job? If not, what needs to be done in this regard.
b)	Assess his/ her potential for holding next higher position in same functional area or any
	other area:-
c)	Please state with details, if any adverse report has been recorded in respect of the Executive during the period under report
d)	Please state with details if the Executive has received any commendations during the period under report
٥)	Places Comment on Evacutive's intermity
e)	Please Comment on Executive's integrity.
	Reporting Officer/Appriaser:-
	Signature
	Name
	Designation
	Data

(A State Government Undertaking)

Page 9 of 10

SECTION-IV (To be filled up by the Reviewing Officer)

1.	. Length of Service Under the Reviewing Officer									
2.	Do you agree with the remarks of the Reporting Officer?									
	Yes	N	lo							
	General remarks,	if any:-								
3.	In case of difference	e of opinion, deta	ils and reasons for th	e same may be reco	rded below:-					
		·····								
4.	Overall Evaluation	:-								
	Not satisfactory	Average	Effective& Competent	Very Effective	Exceptional					
	Date: -		Sig	nature of Reviewing	g Officer					
	Place: -		Name & De	esignation of Review	wing Officer					

(In BLOCK LETTERS)

(A State Government Undertaking)

Page 10 of 10

SECTION -V

(To be filled by the Accepting Authority)

1. Do you ag	gree with the	e remarks of	the repo	orting /reviev	ving Officers	s?	
	Yes		No				
General re	emarks if an	y:-					
2. In case of	difference of	of opinion, d	letails ar	nd reasons fo	r the same m	ay be rec	orded below:-
3. Overall E	valuation:-						
Not satisfa	actory	Average		Effective& Competent	Very Ef	fective	Exceptional
Date:-				Si	ignature of A	Accepting	Authority
Place:	-			Name & I	Designation (of Accept	ting Authority

(In BLOCK LETTERS)